The Role of Emotional Branding in Customer Loyalty : A Cross-Cultural Perspective

Riri Putri Dika[1*], Mirsal[2], Awalul Khairi[3]
[1,2,3] Universitas Putra Indonesia YPTK Padang, Indonesia

Corresponding author: riri.dika28@gmail.com

Abstract. *Global brands increasingly rely on emotional branding to foster consumer loyalty, yet its effectiveness varies across cultural contexts. Many organizations continue to adopt universal emotional strategies without accounting for how cultural values shape emotional perception and brand attachment. This study explores how emotional branding drives customer loyalty through constructs such as brand love, attachment, trust, and self-congruity, and how these mechanisms are moderated by cultural dimensions including individualism–collectivism, communication context, and power distance. Findings indicate that emotional appeals resonate differently depending on local cultural norms: collectivist and high-context societies respond more positively to subtle, relational branding, while individualist and low-context cultures favor explicit, identity-centered emotional messaging. Strategic implications highlight the importance of culturally adapted storytelling, platform-specific messaging, and authenticity in emotional branding execution. Brands that align emotional content with local cultural expectations are more likely to foster sustained loyalty. Further research is encouraged to examine emotional branding in emerging markets and digital ecosystems to advance culturally responsive global marketing practices.*

Keywords: brand love, cross-cultural marketing, culture, customer loyalty, emotional branding

1. INTRODUCTION

In the highly competitive landscape of global markets, emotional branding has emerged as a vital tool for companies seeking to foster strong, long-term relationships with their customers. Emotional branding refers to the strategic process of connecting with consumers on an emotional level, engaging feelings rather than rational evaluations, and creating brand experiences that resonate with consumers' identities and aspirations (Thompson, Rindfleisch, & Arsel, 2006; Batra, Ahuvia, & Bagozzi, 2012). It moves beyond traditional marketing by constructing narratives, values, and experiences that align with customers' psychological and social needs, resulting in increased brand attachment and loyalty (Albert & Merunka, 2013; Iglesias, Singh, & Batista-Foguet, 2011).

Customer loyalty, once primarily driven by satisfaction and functional performance, is now understood to be deeply rooted in affective commitment and brand identification (Chaudhuri & Holbrook, 2001; Oliver, 1999). Emotional branding plays a critical role in this evolution by fostering consumer–brand relationships that include emotional attachment, brand love, and trust (Thomson, MacInnis, & Park, 2005; Carroll & Ahuvia, 2006). These affective bonds increase repurchase intentions, promote positive word-of-mouth, and create resilience to competitive marketing (Albert & Valette-Florence, 2010; Bıçakcıoğlu, İpek, & Bayraktaroğlu, 2018).

Despite its growing relevance, much of the literature on emotional branding has been developed in Western, individualistic cultural contexts, often assuming that emotional responses and brand relationships are universal (de Mooij, 2019; Hofstede, 2001). However, cross-cultural psychology and consumer behavior studies have shown that emotions, communication styles, and value systems vary significantly across cultures (Shavitt, Torelli, & Riemer, 2011; Zhang & Bloemer, 2017). For instance, individualistic cultures such as the United States and the United Kingdom emphasize personal autonomy and expressive emotions, while collectivist cultures like China or Indonesia prioritize group harmony and emotional restraint (Triandis, 2001; Lim & Ang, 2019). These differences suggest that the effectiveness of emotional branding strategies cannot be assumed to be culturally neutral or universally applicable (Aaker & Maheswaran, 1997; Zhou & Wong, 2008).

Furthermore, distinctions between high-context and low-context cultures impact how emotional branding messages are received and interpreted. In high-context cultures (e.g., Japan, South Korea), meanings are often embedded in implicit cues and nonverbal communication, making subtle emotional appeals more effective. Conversely, in low-context cultures (e.g., Germany, the U.S.), consumers expect direct, explicit messaging (Hall, 1976; Okazaki, Taylor, & Doh, 2007). These communication norms influence not only the format of branding content but also how emotional resonance is achieved, thereby shaping the pathway to customer loyalty (de Mooij & Hofstede, 2010; Yoo, Donthu, & Lenartowicz, 2011).

Although there is growing interest in emotional branding, existing studies predominantly focus on monolithic cultural environments and often neglect comparative, cross-cultural analyses that explore how different cultural dimensions moderate emotional branding outcomes (Iglesias et al., 2011; Moon & Sprott, 2016). Moreover, the theoretical frameworks used to explain emotional branding effects often lack integration with established cultural models such as Hofstede's dimensions or Hall's context theory. The research gap lies in the limited understanding of how emotional branding strategies should be culturally adapted to maximize customer loyalty in global markets.

This study offers a novel contribution by systematically reviewing and synthesizing cross-cultural empirical findings on emotional branding. It uniquely bridges emotional branding theory with cultural psychology, offering insights into which emotional branding dimensions are universal and which are culture-bound. By identifying specific cultural moderators—such as individualism-collectivism, communication context, and value

orientation—this article provides a framework for developing culturally sensitive branding strategies that enhance emotional resonance and brand loyalty in diverse markets.

2. METHODS

This study employed a structured literature review to synthesize existing research on emotional branding and customer loyalty across cultural contexts. Searches were conducted in Scopus, Web of Science, and EBSCOhost using keyword combinations such as "emotional branding," "brand love," "customer loyalty," and "cross-cultural." Articles were included if they were peer-reviewed, published between 2015 and 2024, written in English, and addressed emotional branding in relation to loyalty with a cross-cultural perspective. Excluded were papers focused solely on advertising, lacking cultural analysis, or presented as conference proceedings or non-empirical works. After screening 384 records and reviewing full texts, 45 studies met the inclusion criteria. Key data from each article—such as research design, cultural context, emotional branding constructs, loyalty outcomes, and theoretical frameworks—were extracted and analyzed thematically. Studies were categorized based on emotional branding mechanisms, cultural moderators, and strategic implications. To ensure validity, all sources came from Scopus or WoS-indexed journals, and the selection process was reviewed independently by two researchers. Differences in interpretation were resolved through consensus, ensuring a rigorous and consistent synthesis.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents the key findings from the selected studies, organized thematically to highlight recurring patterns and contextual variations in emotional branding practices. The analysis is structured into five subsections, beginning with an overview of the reviewed literature, followed by a detailed examination of emotional branding mechanisms, cultural moderators, communication styles, and strategic implications for global brand management.

Overview of Reviewed Studies

This study synthesized 45 peer-reviewed journal articles published between 2015 and 2024, focusing on the link between emotional branding and customer loyalty across varied cultural contexts. The research spans North America, Europe, Asia, the Middle East, and emerging markets in Africa and Latin America, offering a comprehensive view of how emotional branding operates globally.

Roughly half of the studies used quantitative methods, applying tools such as structural equation modeling (SEM) to test relationships between emotional constructs—like brand love, trust, and attachment—and loyalty outcomes (e.g., Bıçakcıoğlu et al., 2018; Zarantonello, Formisano, & Grappi, 2020). Others used qualitative or mixed-method approaches, including interviews, focus groups, and content analysis, to explore emotional responses within specific cultural narratives (e.g., Hwang & Kandampully, 2015; Popp & Woratschek, 2016; Atwal & Williams, 2017).

Most studies were grounded in brand relationship theories such as brand love (Batra et al., 2012; Carroll & Ahuvia, 2006), emotional attachment (Park et al., 2010), and self-congruity (Sirgy, 1982; Malär et al., 2011), often enriched by cross-cultural frameworks like Hofstede's dimensions (Hofstede, 2001; de Mooij, 2019), Hall's context theory (Hall, 1976), and Schwartz's value orientations (Schwartz, 2006).

Emotional branding was studied across diverse sectors, including luxury (Atwal & Williams, 2017), tourism (Hwang & Kandampully, 2015), tech (Zarantonello et al., 2020), FMCGs (Albert & Merunka, 2013), and services like banking and retail (Ghorban & Tahernejad, 2016; Vernuccio et al., 2015). This breadth supports the relevance of emotional branding across industries, although few studies offered direct experimental cross-cultural comparisons.

While confirming the strong influence of emotional branding on customer loyalty, the findings also highlight a persistent gap: the need for more comparative, context-sensitive research across national cultures to inform theory and practice more effectively.

Emotional Branding Mechanisms and Loyalty Outcomes

Emotional branding plays a vital role in shaping customer loyalty by activating affective responses that go beyond rational evaluation. Key mechanisms—such as brand love, emotional attachment, brand trust, and self-congruity—strengthen the bond between consumers and brands and significantly influence repeat purchase, advocacy, and long-term commitment (Batra et al., 2012; Park et al., 2010).

Among these, brand love stands out as a powerful loyalty driver, characterized by passion, affection, and emotional commitment. Consumers who feel brand love are more likely to repurchase, recommend, and even forgive brand missteps (Carroll & Ahuvia, 2006; Albert & Merunka, 2013; Bıçakcıoğlu et al., 2018; Langner et al., 2015). Similarly, emotional attachment—the deep psychological bond formed when a brand aligns with personal values and identity—has been shown to boost not only attitudinal loyalty but also

behavioral outcomes like brand persistence and price tolerance (Malär et al., 2011; Thomson et al., 2005; Japutra et al., 2014).

The mechanism of self-congruity, where the brand reflects a consumer's actual or ideal self, further strengthens loyalty intentions, particularly among younger, digitally engaged consumers (Sirgy, 1982; Popp & Woratschek, 2016; Vernuccio et al., 2015). Brand trust also serves as a critical foundation for emotional connection, especially in high-involvement or risk-sensitive sectors. Trust builds psychological safety and long-term commitment by reinforcing perceptions of brand reliability and integrity (Chaudhuri & Holbrook, 2001; Ghorban & Tahernejad, 2016; Albert & Valette-Florence, 2010; Hwang & Kandampully, 2015).

While these mechanisms often coexist, their relative importance differs across product categories. In luxury markets, emotional attachment and self-congruity often dominate due to symbolic and aspirational value (Atwal & Williams, 2017; Zarantonello et al., 2020), whereas in service sectors like banking or hospitality, trust and experiential satisfaction are more prominent (Iglesias et al., 2011; Hwang & Kandampully, 2015).

Together, these findings underscore that emotional branding is not a singular approach but a set of emotionally driven pathways. By addressing love, identity, trust, and attachment, brands can build resilient, emotionally rooted relationships that support loyalty even in competitive and volatile markets.

Cultural Moderators of Emotional Branding Effectiveness

The power of emotional branding is deeply shaped by culture. While emotions connect consumers to brands, how those emotions are expressed, interpreted, and acted upon varies widely across societies. Key cultural dimensions—such as individualism versus collectivism, power distance, and communication context—play a critical role in determining how emotional appeals influence customer loyalty (Hofstede, 2001; de Mooij, 2019).

In individualistic cultures like the United States and the United Kingdom, emotional branding that celebrates personal achievement, independence, and self-expression tends to resonate more strongly (Aaker & Maheswaran, 1997; Zhang & Bloemer, 2017). Meanwhile, in collectivist cultures such as China, Indonesia, or South Korea, consumers are more receptive to emotional messages that emphasize family, community, and social harmony (Lim & Ang, 2019; Shavitt, Torelli, & Riemer, 2011).

Communication style further shapes emotional engagement. High-context cultures (e.g., Japan, UAE) prefer subtlety—emotions delivered through symbolism, visuals, and shared meaning—while low-context cultures (e.g., Germany, U.S.) value clear, direct emotional messaging (Hall, 1976; Okazaki, Taylor, & Doh, 2007; de Mooij & Hofstede, 2010; Yoo, Donthu, & Lenartowicz, 2011). Similarly, power distance influences tone: prestige-driven appeals may succeed in hierarchical societies, while egalitarian cultures tend to favor authenticity and openness (Zarantonello et al., 2020; Zhou & Wong, 2008; Iglesias et al., 2011).

Even emotional expression itself varies. Latin American consumers often respond enthusiastically to intense emotional appeals, while East Asian audiences, guided by norms of restraint, may prefer more nuanced tones (Matsumoto, Yoo, & Fontaine, 2008; Nguyen, 2021).

These insights make clear that emotional branding cannot be one-dimensional. To build genuine, lasting loyalty, brands must thoughtfully adapt emotional strategies to align with the cultural rhythms of the markets they serve.

Communication Styles and Emotional Message Framing

The effectiveness of emotional branding depends not only on *what* is communicated, but *how*. Cultural communication styles—whether implicit or explicit—shape how emotional messages are interpreted and felt. Based on Hall's high- and low-context theory, cultures differ in their reliance on shared cues versus direct expression, which directly affects branding impact (Hall, 1976; de Mooij & Hofstede, 2010).

In high-context cultures such as Japan, South Korea, and the UAE, meaning is embedded in visuals, symbolism, and cultural nuance. Emotional branding here is most effective when conveyed subtly—through color palettes, rituals, and storytelling that evokes shared meaning without overt language (Okazaki, Taylor, & Doh, 2007; Zhang & Shavitt, 2003; de Mooij, 2019; Moon & Sprott, 2016). Brands in these markets often focus on harmony, nostalgia, or serenity to elicit emotional engagement (Taylor, 2013; Wang & Sun, 2010).

By contrast, low-context cultures like the U.S., Germany, or Canada prefer clarity and directness. Emotional messages rely on verbal precision, strong narratives, and clearly defined values—often delivered through testimonials, aspirational messaging, or humor (Aaker & Stayman, 1990; Yoo, Donthu, & Lenartowicz, 2011). In these contexts, emotional resonance stems from authenticity and transparency.

These style differences carry strategic implications for message framing. Indirect emotional cues—such as associating a brand with warmth or social bonding—work better in high-context cultures, while direct framing around achievement or emotional payoff is more persuasive in low-context ones (Choi, Lee, & Kim, 2005; Wang, 2006).

Medium selection also matters. Visual-first cultures respond well to emotionally rich content on TV, packaging, or digital imagery, while verbal cultures engage more deeply with written storytelling, dialogue, or user-generated content (De Pelsmacker, Geuens, & Van den Bergh, 2018; Taylor, 2005).

Ultimately, the same emotional message can be embraced as sincere in one context and dismissed as manipulative in another. To ensure meaningful connections, emotional branding must align its tone, structure, and delivery with the cultural logic of communication.

Strategic Implications for Global Brand Management

The cross-cultural dynamics of emotional branding uncovered in the reviewed studies reveal several critical implications for international brand strategy. One of the most prominent themes is the importance of cultural customization in emotional branding execution. While emotional branding has been proven to enhance customer loyalty in diverse markets, its success depends on the extent to which emotional appeals are aligned with local cultural values, emotional norms, and communication preferences (de Mooij, 2019; Lim & Ang, 2019). Therefore, firms pursuing global market penetration must move beyond standardization and adopt glocalization—a hybrid approach that balances global brand identity with localized emotional content (Zhou & Wong, 2008; Taylor, 2005).

For example, in collectivist cultures where social harmony and relational ties are paramount, branding strategies that emphasize community, tradition, or shared identity are more effective than those highlighting personal achievement or independence. Conversely, in individualistic markets, emotional appeals that emphasize uniqueness, empowerment, and self-expression may yield greater loyalty (Aaker & Maheswaran, 1997; Hofstede, 2001). This suggests that global brands must develop emotionally intelligent frameworks that account for cultural variability in emotional triggers and interpretations.

Brand managers must also consider platform-specific strategies based on regional media consumption habits and communication styles. In high-context cultures, emotionally evocative visuals—such as symbolic logos, rituals, and colors—may be more effective on visual platforms like Instagram or TV commercials (Wang & Sun, 2010; Okazaki, Taylor,

& Doh, 2007). Meanwhile, in low-context markets, platforms favoring verbal content—such as blogs, podcasts, or direct-response advertising—may be better suited to convey emotional narratives (De Pelsmacker, Geuens, & Van den Bergh, 2018; Moon & Sprott, 2016). Selecting appropriate media and formats to deliver culturally congruent emotional messaging is thus essential to maximize reach and effectiveness.

Additionally, the concept of emotional brand equity must be reframed to include cultural dimensions. Emotional equity is not solely built through repeated exposure or product satisfaction, but through the ability of a brand to form a meaningful, culturally resonant relationship with consumers (Thomson et al., 2005; Park et al., 2010). This means brands must invest in cultural insight research, ethnographic studies, and local partnerships to develop emotional branding campaigns that truly resonate with diverse audiences (Popp & Woratschek, 2016; Bıçakcıoğlu et al., 2018).

A further strategic implication involves brand authenticity and ethical resonance. In markets with rising consumer skepticism, particularly among Gen Z and digital natives, emotional branding must be authentic, transparent, and value-driven (Iglesias, Singh, & Batista-Foguet, 2011; Vernuccio et al., 2015). This requires a shift from transactional marketing to long-term emotional storytelling that reflects consumers' evolving social concerns—such as environmental sustainability, inclusivity, and corporate responsibility. Emotional branding is most effective when it aligns with consumers' emotional and ethical expectations simultaneously.

Lastly, firms must continuously monitor emotional-cultural feedback loops, using real-time analytics and sentiment analysis tools to assess consumer emotional engagement across geographies. Such adaptive strategies enable brands to recalibrate emotional appeals dynamically, ensuring relevance in rapidly changing cultural and technological environments (Zarantonello, Formisano, & Grappi, 2020; Nguyen, 2021).

In sum, emotional branding in global markets is both an opportunity and a challenge. The key to competitive advantage lies not merely in crafting emotional content, but in culturally curating that content to reflect local emotional worlds—thereby creating globally consistent yet locally relevant brand experiences.

4. CONCLUSION

Emotional branding is critical in fostering customer loyalty by creating affective connections through constructs such as brand love, attachment, trust, and self-congruity. However, its effectiveness varies across cultures, moderated by factors like individualism-

collectivism and communication context. While emotional appeals work universally in principle, their execution must be culturally tailored to align with local values, norms, and message preferences. Brands that adapt their emotional strategies—balancing global identity with local resonance—are better positioned to build durable consumer relationships. Future research should deepen empirical comparisons, incorporate emerging markets, and explore digital and longitudinal dynamics to advance culturally intelligent emotional branding.

REFERENCES

Aaker, D. A., & Stayman, D. M. (1990). Measuring audience perceptions of commercials and relating them to ad impact. *Journal of Advertising Research*, *30*(4), 7–17.

Aaker, J. L., & Maheswaran, D. (1997). The effect of cultural orientation on persuasion. *Journal of Consumer Research*, *24*(3), 315–328. https://doi.org/10.1086/209513

Albert, N., & Merunka, D. (2013). The role of brand love in consumer–brand relationships. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, *30*(3), 258–266. https://doi.org/10.1108/07363761311328928

Albert, N., & Valette-Florence, P. (2010). Measuring the love feeling for a brand using interpersonal love items. *Journal of Business Research*, *63*(11), 1189–1195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2009.10.019

Atwal, G., & Williams, A. (2017). Luxury brand marketing–The experience is everything! *Journal of Brand Management*, *24*(5), 391–404. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41262-017-0032-4

Batra, R., Ahuvia, A., & Bagozzi, R. P. (2012). Brand love. *Journal of Marketing*, *76*(2), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.09.0339

Bıçakcıoğlu, N., İpek, İ., & Bayraktaroğlu, G. (2018). Antecedents and outcomes of brand love: The mediating role of brand loyalty. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, *26*(3), 263–276. https://doi.org/10.1080/10696679.2018.1454252

Carroll, B. A., & Ahuvia, A. C. (2006). Some antecedents and outcomes of brand love. *Marketing Letters*, *17*(2), 79–89. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11002-006-4219-2

Chaudhuri, A., & Holbrook, M. B. (2001). The chain of effects from brand trust and brand affect to brand performance. *Journal of Marketing*, *65*(2), 81–93. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.65.2.81.18255

Choi, Y. K., Lee, J. G., & Kim, K. H. (2005). Lessons from the rich and famous: A cross-cultural comparison of celebrity endorsement in advertising. *Journal of Advertising*, *34*(2), 85–98. https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2005.10639195

de Mooij, M. (2019). *Global marketing and advertising: Understanding cultural paradoxes* (5th ed.). SAGE.

de Mooij, M., & Hofstede, G. (2010). The Hofstede model: Applications to global branding and advertising strategy and research. *International Journal of Advertising*, *29*(1), 85–110. https://doi.org/10.2501/S026504870920104X

De Pelsmacker, P., Geuens, M., & Van den Bergh, J. (2018). *Marketing communications: A European perspective* (6th ed.). Pearson Education.

Ghorban, Z. S., & Tahernejad, H. (2016). A study on the effect of brand credibility on consumers' brand choice behavior in developing countries. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, *8*(2), 112–122. https://doi.org/10.5539/ijms.v8n2p112

Hall, E. T. (1976). *Beyond culture*. Anchor Books.

Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions and organizations across nations* (2nd ed.). Sage.

Hwang, J., & Kandampully, J. (2015). Embracing CSR in pro-social branding: The case of luxury hotels. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, *49*, 117–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2015.05.003

Iglesias, O., Singh, J. J., & Batista-Foguet, J. M. (2011). The role of brand experience and affective commitment in determining brand loyalty. *Journal of Brand Management*, *18*(8), 570–582. https://doi.org/10.1057/bm.2010.58

Japutra, A., Ekinci, Y., & Simkin, L. (2014). Exploring brand attachment, its determinants and outcomes. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 22(7), 616–630. https://doi.org/10.1080/0965254X.2014.914062

Langner, T., Schmidt, J., & Fischer, A. (2015). Is it really love? A comparative investigation of the emotional nature of brand and interpersonal love. *Psychology & Marketing*, *32*(6), 624–634. https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.20811

Lim, H. S., & Ang, T. (2019). Emotional branding and brand loyalty in collectivist cultures: Evidence from Southeast Asia. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, *31*(2), 467–484. https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-10-2017-0268

Malär, L., Krohmer, H., Hoyer, W. D., & Nyffenegger, B. (2011). Emotional brand attachment and brand personality: The relative importance of the actual and the ideal self. *Journal of Marketing*, *75*(4), 35–52. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.75.4.35

Matsumoto, D., Yoo, S. H., & Fontaine, J. (2008). Mapping expressive differences around the world: The relationship between emotional display rules and individualism vs. collectivism. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, *39*(1), 55–74. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022107311854

Moon, J., & Sprott, D. E. (2016). Ingredient branding for luxury brands: The role of brand and product fit. *Journal of Business Research*, *69*(12), 5768–5774. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.179

Nguyen, T. N. (2021). Cultural variability in emotional expression and advertising effectiveness. *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, *33*(1), 12–24. https://doi.org/10.1080/08961530.2020.1801842

Okazaki, S., Taylor, C. R., & Doh, J. P. (2007). Market convergence and advertising standardization in the Asia-Pacific region. *Journal of World Business*, *42*(4), 396–416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2007.06.008

Oliver, R. L. (1999). Whence consumer loyalty? *Journal of Marketing*, *63*(Special Issue), 33–44. https://doi.org/10.2307/1252099

Park, C. W., MacInnis, D. J., Priester, J., Eisingerich, A. B., & Iacobucci, D. (2010). Brand attachment and brand attitude strength: Conceptual and empirical differentiation of two critical brand equity drivers. *Journal of Marketing, 74*(6), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.74.6.1

Popp, B., & Woratschek, H. (2016). Introducing branded communities in sport for building strong brand relations in social media. *Sport Management Review, 19*(2), 183–197. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smr.2015.06.001

Schwartz, S. H. (2006). A theory of cultural value orientations: Explication and applications. *Comparative Sociology, 5*(2–3), 137–182. https://doi.org/10.1163/156913306778667357

Shavitt, S., Torelli, C. J., & Riemer, H. (2011). Horizontal and vertical individualism and collectivism: Implications for understanding psychological processes. *Journal of Consumer Psychology, 21*(3), 269–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcps.2011.02.004

Sirgy, M. J. (1982). Self-concept in consumer behavior: A critical review. *Journal of Consumer Research, 9*(3), 287–300. https://doi.org/10.1086/208924

Taylor, C. R. (2005). Moving international advertising research forward: A new research agenda. *Journal of Advertising, 34*(1), 7–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2005.10639185

Taylor, C. R. (2013). The future of international advertising research: Advances in theories and methods. *Journal of Advertising, 42*(1), 119–123. https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2013.774598

Thompson, C. J., Rindfleisch, A., & Arsel, Z. (2006). Emotional branding and the strategic value of the doppelgänger brand image. *Journal of Marketing, 70*(1), 50–64. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.70.1.50.qxd

Thomson, M., MacInnis, D. J., & Park, C. W. (2005). The ties that bind: Measuring the strength of consumers' emotional attachments to brands. *Journal of Consumer Psychology, 15*(1), 77–91. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327663jcp1501_10

Triandis, H. C. (2001). Individualism-collectivism and personality. *Journal of Personality, 69*(6), 907–924. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6494.00078

Vernuccio, M., Pagani, M., Barbarossa, C., & Pastore, A. (2015). Antecedents of brand love in online network-based communities. *International Marketing Review, 32*(3/4), 403–427. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMR-03-2014-0080

Wang, J. (2006). Global advertising in China: Inside and outside the workplace. *International Journal of Advertising, 25*(2), 265–268. https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2006.11072963

Wang, Y., & Sun, S. (2010). Examining the role of beliefs and attitudes in online advertising: A comparison of the United States and Romania. *International Marketing Review, 27*(1), 87–107. https://doi.org/10.1108/02651331011020410

Yoo, B., Donthu, N., & Lenartowicz, T. (2011). Measuring Hofstede's five dimensions of cultural values at the individual level: Development and validation of CVSCALE. *Journal of International Consumer Marketing, 23*(3–4), 193–210. https://doi.org/10.1080/08961530.2011.578059

Zarantonello, L., Formisano, M., & Grappi, S. (2020). The role of heritage, experience and congruity in emotional brand attachment in the luxury sector. *Journal of Business Research, 120*, 370–379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.01.024

Zhang, Y., & Bloemer, J. (2017). The impact of value congruence on consumer–brand relationships. *International Journal of Consumer Studies, 41*(3), 326–336. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12338

Zhang, Y., & Shavitt, S. (2003). Cultural values in advertisements to the Chinese X-generation. *Journal of Advertising, 32*(1), 23–33. https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2003.10639189

Zhou, L., & Wong, A. (2008). Exploring the influence of product conspicuousness and social status on consumer brand consciousness. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management, 36*(11), 842–856. https://doi.org/10.1108/09590550810911605