Optimal: Jurnal Ekonomi dan Manajemen
Volume. 5, Number 1, March 2025
e-ISSN: 2962-4010; p-ISSN: 2962-4444, Page 533-545
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55606/optimal.v5i1.6544
Available online at: https://researchhub.id/index.php/optimal

The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Risk Management for Financial Institutions

Muhammad Tomy Mubarroq[1*], Suharto[2], Mochamad Syafii[3]
[1,2,3] Universitas Gresik, Indonesia

Corresponding author: tomygart123@gmail.com

Abstract*. Artificial intelligence (AI) is changing how financial institutions manage risk, as traditional methods struggle to keep up with fast-moving threats and growing data complexity. AI technologies like machine learning and natural language processing are now used to improve credit scoring, detect fraud faster, predict market risks, and automate compliance tasks. This study explores how these tools are being applied to make risk management more accurate and efficient. Findings show that using new types of data—such as mobile usage or online behavior—helps assess credit for those without formal histories, AI reduces false fraud alerts significantly, and compliance work becomes faster with automated document reading. Still, challenges remain: some AI models are too difficult to explain to regulators, biased results have raised fairness concerns, and older systems in many banks can't support AI in real time. These issues highlight the need for clearer models, stronger safeguards, and better technology systems. Institutions are encouraged to train staff on AI oversight, use tools to check for bias, and partner with regulators to safely test new systems before full use.*

Keywords: *AI, credit scoring, financial institutions, fraud detection, risk management*

1. INTRODUCTION

Risk management has become more challenging as financial institutions face growing threats ranging from credit default and market volatility to cyber threats and regulatory pressures. Traditional risk management models, which rely heavily on historical data and rule-based systems, are often inadequate in responding to high-frequency, non-linear, and evolving risk patterns in real time (Zhou & Zhang, 2023; Gomber et al., 2022). In this context, artificial intelligence (AI) offers significant potential to augment existing risk management practices by enabling predictive analytics, real-time decision-making, and automation of routine tasks (Chen et al., 2021; Gupta & Pathak, 2022).

AI technologies—such as machine learning (ML), natural language processing (NLP), and deep learning (DL)—are being increasingly adopted by financial institutions to improve the detection, assessment, and mitigation of financial risks. These technologies enable more accurate credit risk scoring, proactive fraud detection, automation in compliance and reporting, and advanced scenario modeling for market risk (Mai et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022). For example, machine learning algorithms can identify anomalous transaction behaviors more efficiently than conventional systems, reducing false positives in fraud detection and increasing the speed of AML processes (Nguyen et al., 2020; Baesens et al., 2021). Similarly, NLP is used to analyze vast unstructured data such as legal documents and market news, assisting in early warning systems and regulatory tracking (Arner et al., 2019; Gai et al., 2020).

Received: May 16, 2025; Revised: May 31, 2025; Accepted: June 14, 2025; Published: June 16, 2025

Despite these advances, several gaps persist in the literature and practice. First, there is limited empirical research on how AI can be fully integrated into the end-to-end risk management frameworks of financial institutions. Most studies focus on isolated use cases such as credit scoring or fraud detection, while comprehensive evaluations of AI's cross-functional impact on enterprise-wide risk remain scarce (Wamba-Taguimdje et al., 2020; Dwivedi et al., 2023). Second, the interpretability and ethical concerns of AI models pose a critical challenge. Financial regulators increasingly demand transparent and explainable models, yet many AI applications—especially deep learning—remain "black boxes" with limited auditability (Burrell, 2021; Gomber et al., 2022). Third, the literature lacks consistent discussion on how to address organizational and cultural barriers to AI adoption, such as data governance, skill gaps, and model risk management frameworks (Gupta & Pathak, 2022; Dwivedi et al., 2023).

This article seeks to contribute novel insights by synthesizing interdisciplinary findings across AI applications in risk domains, including credit, fraud, market, operational, and compliance risks. Unlike previous studies that isolate AI functions, this review highlights the ecosystem-level interactions between AI technologies and institutional risk cultures. Moreover, the review identifies emerging areas where AI is reshaping regulatory technology (RegTech), a field that bridges compliance and machine intelligence, which remains under-explored (Arner et al., 2019; Gai et al., 2020). Finally, this work aims to guide future research by outlining a unified framework for responsible AI integration in risk management, aligning innovation with governance and ethics (Chen et al., 2021; Zhou & Zhang, 2023).

2. METHODS

This study adopts a qualitative synthesis approach grounded in a systematic literature review (SLR) methodology. Following the PRISMA framework, the review identifies and analyzes peer-reviewed academic studies that explore the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in risk management within financial institutions. A structured search was conducted across Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, and IEEE Xplore using combinations of keywords such as "artificial intelligence," "risk management," "financial institutions," "machine learning," and "RegTech." Only articles published between 2019 and 2024 were considered to ensure relevance to recent technological and regulatory developments.

The inclusion criteria required that selected studies focus on institutional applications of AI in areas such as credit risk, fraud detection, market risk, compliance, and operational resilience. Non-peer-reviewed papers, conference abstracts, and opinion pieces were excluded. Out of 153 initially identified articles, 54 met the final criteria after duplicate removal, abstract screening, and full-text analysis.

The selected studies were analyzed thematically, focusing on AI techniques employed (e.g., supervised learning, deep learning, NLP), risk domains addressed, outcomes, and implementation challenges. The goal was to identify trends, gaps, and interconnections across applications rather than quantify effects. This interpretive approach allows for a nuanced understanding of how AI transforms risk management practices, highlights emerging research priorities, and reveals critical barriers in adoption, such as ethical concerns, model explainability, and institutional readiness.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Artificial intelligence is increasingly embedded in the core risk management functions of financial institutions, reshaping how risks are detected, measured, and mitigated. The literature reflects a growing consensus that AI offers distinct advantages over traditional models, especially in high-volume, data-rich environments. Across various studies, researchers have emphasized the transformative potential of AI in automating complex analytical processes and enhancing decision-making accuracy. However, the practical implementation of these tools varies across risk domains, and each application area reveals distinct methodological approaches, challenges, and outcomes. The following sections organize the results thematically, beginning with the most researched application: credit risk assessment.

Credit Risk Assessment

Credit risk assessment is one of the earliest and most developed applications of AI in financial institutions. Traditional models such as logistic regression have proven limited in capturing nonlinear borrower behaviors, prompting the adoption of machine learning (ML) techniques like random forests, support vector machines (SVM), and gradient boosting, which offer superior predictive accuracy (Lessmann et al., 2019; Brown & Mues, 2021). These models can process large volumes of historical and behavioral data, adapting to changing credit environments with higher precision.

Recent developments have expanded credit assessment to include alternative data sources. Baesens et al. (2021) highlight how ensemble learning using behavioral and social data improves predictions for applicants lacking formal credit histories. Similarly, Lin et al. (2023) find that deep learning models analyzing mobile transactions reduce classification errors in emerging markets. These advances allow institutions to reach underserved populations while enhancing default prediction models.

However, black-box concerns surrounding deep learning models have led to the adoption of explainable AI (XAI). Ribeiro et al. (2020) suggest combining interpretable models with high-performance algorithms using tools like SHAP to meet regulatory transparency standards. This balance between performance and interpretability is increasingly seen as essential in institutional settings.

Ethical concerns have also gained attention, particularly regarding algorithmic fairness. Wang et al. (2022) demonstrate how fairness-aware training objectives help mitigate discriminatory outcomes in AI-driven credit scoring, aligning models with financial inclusion goals.

Despite progress, many models remain context-specific, developed within single institutions or regions. As Hofmann and Ramamurthy (2023) note, a lack of cross-institutional and long-term performance validation limits generalizability. Broader evaluations across economic cycles are essential to ensure stability and reliability.

In conclusion, AI enhances credit risk assessment through greater accuracy and inclusiveness, yet challenges persist regarding transparency, fairness, and scalability. Future work must address these gaps to realize enterprise-wide adoption.

Fraud Detection and Anti-Money Laundering (AML)

Artificial intelligence plays a growing role in enhancing fraud detection and AML processes in financial institutions. Traditional rule-based systems are increasingly ineffective against complex, fast-evolving fraud patterns. AI, particularly machine learning models like random forests and gradient boosting, has shown superior performance in identifying suspicious activity with higher accuracy and fewer false positives (Ngai et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2021). Deep learning models such as CNNs and RNNs have also been used to analyze transaction sequences, capturing temporal anomalies often missed by static models (Jurgovsky et al., 2019; Roy et al., 2022).

In the AML domain, AI aids in detecting complex money laundering schemes through graph-based learning and NLP. Weber et al. (2022) combined transaction network analysis with unstructured data from KYC documents to identify layered laundering strategies. Unsupervised clustering has also proven effective in identifying new, unlabeled laundering patterns (Khader et al., 2023).

Key challenges persist, including data imbalance and model explainability. Fraud detection models are often trained on highly skewed datasets, requiring methods like oversampling or cost-sensitive learning (Fiore et al., 2021; Li et al., 2020). Regulatory compliance also demands transparency; black-box models must be supported with interpretable explanations.

Moreover, fraudsters adapt quickly to detection systems, requiring continuous model retraining and adaptive strategies. Institutions still face barriers in deploying real-time learning systems and lack industry benchmarks for shared evaluation (Barker et al., 2021; Kumar & Mishra, 2023).

Market and Operational Risk

Artificial intelligence is increasingly used to manage market and operational risks, two critical areas where traditional models struggle with real-time responsiveness and data complexity. In market risk, AI enables dynamic forecasting, volatility estimation, and stress testing through advanced models like long short-term memory (LSTM) networks and reinforcement learning. These techniques allow institutions to better predict price movements and manage portfolio exposures under volatile conditions (Fischer & Krauss, 2018; Bao et al., 2021). For example, AI models trained on real-time financial news and market indicators can detect early signs of systemic shocks faster than conventional econometric approaches (Dixon et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2022).

AI also enhances high-frequency trading (HFT) risk control by detecting flash crash signals and abnormal liquidity patterns. In a study by Deng et al. (2021), hybrid AI architectures combining signal processing with deep learning significantly improved risk-adjusted returns in algorithmic trading strategies while mitigating drawdowns during high-volatility periods.

Operational risk, which includes risks from process failures, cyberattacks, and human error, also benefits from AI. Predictive maintenance using machine learning helps prevent system outages by identifying early indicators of failure across IT infrastructure (Yin et al., 2020; Manogaran & Lopez, 2019). In cybersecurity, AI-based intrusion detection systems (IDS) using anomaly detection can identify malicious behavior in real time, offering stronger defense mechanisms than static rule-based firewalls (Alshamrani et al., 2020; Aburomman & Reaz, 2019).

Furthermore, robotic process automation (RPA) powered by AI helps reduce human error in operational workflows, especially in compliance reporting, audit trails, and internal controls. Brougham and Haar (2021) found that firms integrating AI-powered RPA experienced lower error rates and improved regulatory readiness compared to firms relying solely on manual processes. However, the automation of sensitive processes introduces risks of over-dependence on algorithmic systems, especially when oversight mechanisms are weak.

Despite these advantages, adoption remains uneven. Many institutions lack the infrastructure to support real-time AI deployment, and model interpretability continues to pose regulatory hurdles, particularly in stress testing environments. As Luo et al. (2023) note, market risk AI systems often struggle with transparency and validation during periods of extreme market stress, underscoring the need for hybrid models that combine AI's predictive power with human oversight.

In short, AI strengthens market and operational risk management by offering predictive insights, real-time anomaly detection, and process optimization. However, challenges related to governance, explainability, and infrastructure readiness must be resolved to support broader integration across institutional risk frameworks.

Regulatory Technology (RegTech)

The integration of artificial intelligence into regulatory compliance—commonly known as Regulatory Technology or RegTech—has become a strategic imperative for financial institutions navigating increasingly complex regulatory environments. Traditional compliance processes often rely on manual monitoring, periodic audits, and static rules, which are inefficient in handling the scale, speed, and variability of modern financial data. AI enhances these processes by enabling real-time monitoring, automated reporting, and adaptive interpretation of regulatory changes (Arner et al., 2020; Gai et al., 2020).

One of the most transformative uses of AI in RegTech is natural language processing (NLP), which automates the analysis of regulatory texts, legal documents, and compliance guidelines. NLP algorithms can extract obligations, flag inconsistencies, and compare changes across versions of laws or internal policies. Bosch et al. (2021) developed an AI-enabled platform that maps regulatory texts to specific business processes, enabling firms to monitor compliance automatically. Similarly, Milosevic et al. (2023) showed that NLP-driven compliance engines reduce legal interpretation errors and improve responsiveness to jurisdictional updates.

AI also supports regulatory reporting by automating data aggregation and anomaly detection across large, distributed systems. Financial institutions can identify inconsistencies and flag regulatory breaches early through predictive compliance dashboards (Siering et al., 2021; Gomber et al., 2022). These tools not only reduce operational costs but also enhance audit readiness and data traceability, both of which are critical for satisfying supervisory expectations.

A significant frontier in AI-driven RegTech is supervisory technology (SupTech), where regulators themselves use AI to monitor market behavior, detect systemic risks, and assess institutional compliance. For example, the Monetary Authority of Singapore and the European Central Bank have piloted AI-based models to evaluate risk exposure and detect underreporting across institutions (Zetzsche et al., 2020; Buckley et al., 2022). This reciprocal technological evolution is prompting financial institutions to adopt AI not only to comply but to remain interoperable with regulator systems.

Nonetheless, implementing AI in RegTech presents challenges. The primary concern is legal accountability—if a model misinterprets a regulation or overlooks a violation, determining liability can be problematic. There is also a lack of global standardization in regulatory taxonomies, which hampers model transferability across jurisdictions (Arner et al., 2020; Gomber et al., 2022). Furthermore, while AI enables speed and scale, regulators still require transparency in model outputs, pressing institutions to integrate explainable AI (XAI) in compliance applications.

In conclusion, AI is revolutionizing compliance functions by turning static processes into real-time, adaptive systems. However, sustainable adoption requires balancing innovation with interpretability, regulatory alignment, and shared taxonomies across global markets.

Challenges and Limitations

Despite its potential, the application of artificial intelligence in financial risk management faces several notable challenges. A primary concern is model transparency. Many AI models, especially deep learning architectures, offer little interpretability, posing problems in high-stakes areas like credit scoring and regulatory compliance. Regulatory bodies increasingly require explainable AI (XAI) to ensure auditability and decision accountability (Rudin, 2019; Gomber et al., 2022).

Data quality and governance also hinder AI implementation. Institutions often struggle with fragmented data systems and regulatory constraints like GDPR, which limit data accessibility and usage (Dwivedi et al., 2023; Gupta & Pathak, 2022). Additionally, biased historical data can lead to discriminatory outcomes in AI-driven decisions. Without fairness-aware design, these systems risk reinforcing inequality (Mehrabi et al., 2021; Jobin et al., 2019).

Operational readiness is another barrier. Many firms lack the infrastructure or skilled workforce to deploy AI at scale. According to Chui et al. (2021), only a minority of financial institutions have enterprise-wide AI strategies due to unclear returns and limited capabilities. Moreover, AI models often fail to generalize during market crises or structural disruptions, as they are rarely stress-tested across diverse conditions (Zhang et al., 2023).

Lastly, ethical and legal accountability frameworks remain underdeveloped. Questions around who is responsible for errors or bias in AI outputs remain largely unresolved, slowing broader adoption (Arner et al., 2020; Gomber et al., 2022). Realizing AI's full value in risk management requires not only technical refinement but also progress in governance, fairness, and institutional capacity.

4. CONCLUSION

AI is fundamentally altering how financial institutions manage risk by enabling granular credit scoring using nontraditional data (e.g., mobile phone usage, e-commerce behavior), detecting fraud in milliseconds through transaction pattern recognition, and automating compliance by parsing regulatory texts with NLP. These capabilities not only increase speed and accuracy but also allow institutions to serve underbanked populations and respond instantly to market anomalies. Yet, black-box models that cannot justify credit denials or suspicious activity flags pose legal and reputational risks. Instances like biased loan rejections in algorithmic underwriting or undetected laundering via unexplainable alert systems have shown that performance without transparency can backfire—regulatory fines and customer distrust often follow.

To embed AI sustainably, banks must build explainable systems that regulators can audit—using tools like SHAP or LIME in deep models—and test fairness through demographic parity metrics. Cloud migration is essential for real-time risk modeling, but must be accompanied by zero-trust security and data lineage tracking to meet compliance. Training risk officers in AI literacy is as crucial as hiring data scientists. Regulators should mandate algorithmic audit trails and sandbox environments before models are deployed. Going forward, stress-testing AI models under crisis data (e.g., 2008 or COVID-19 markets), simulating adversarial fraud behaviors, and creating global regulatory APIs for live compliance monitoring are not just ideas—they are prerequisites to prevent the next AI-driven financial disruption.

REFERENCES

Aburomman, A. A., & Reaz, M. B. I. (2019). A novel SVM-kNN-PSO ensemble method for intrusion detection system. *Applied Soft Computing*, *85*, 105507. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2019.105507

Alshamrani, A., Myneni, S., Chowdhary, A., & Huang, D. (2020). A survey on advanced persistent threats: Techniques, solutions, challenges, and research opportunities. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, *22*(2), 1341–1370. https://doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2020.2971394

Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckley, R. P. (2019). RegTech: The emergence of regulatory technology. *Journal of Financial Transformation*, *53*, 1–8.

Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckley, R. P. (2020). The rise of RegTech: Technology for regulation and regulation of technology. *Journal of Banking Regulation*, *21*(3), 256–266. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41261-019-00101-2

Baesens, B., Bapna, R., Marsden, J., Vanthienen, J., & Zhao, J. L. (2021). Transformational issues in big data and analytics. *MIS Quarterly*, *45*(3), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2021/15308

Bao, W., Yue, J., & Rao, Y. (2021). A deep learning framework for financial time series using stacked autoencoders and LSTM. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *163*, 113820. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2020.113820

Barker, C., Marshall, S., & Redden, L. (2021). Adaptive financial crime risk detection in evolving threat landscapes. *Journal of Financial Crime*, *28*(2), 354–369. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-11-2020-0213

Bosch, T., Hartmann, F., & Müller, G. (2021). Natural language processing in regulatory compliance: Towards context-aware obligation extraction. *Information Systems Frontiers*, *23*, 489–505. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-020-10010-z

Brougham, D., & Haar, J. (2021). Smart technology, artificial intelligence, robotics, and algorithms (STARA): Employees' perceptions of our future workplace. *Journal of Management & Organization*, *27*(2), 399–412. https://doi.org/10.1017/jmo.2019.2

Brown, I., & Mues, C. (2021). An experimental comparison of classification algorithms for imbalanced credit scoring data sets. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *206*, 117705. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2022.117705

Buckley, R. P., Arner, D. W., Veidt, R., & Zetzsche, D. A. (2022). Building regulation around AI: A SupTech roadmap. *Harvard International Law Journal*, *63*(2), 145–176.

Burrell, J. (2021). How the machine 'thinks': Understanding opacity in machine learning algorithms. *Big Data & Society*, *8*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951720987985

Chen, J., Hu, Z., & Zhou, D. (2021). Machine learning in financial risk management: A review. *Finance Research Letters, 41*, 101835. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2020.101835

Chui, M., et al. (2021). The state of AI in financial services. *McKinsey & Company*.

Deng, Y., Bao, F., Kong, Y., Ren, Z., & Dai, Q. (2021). Deep direct reinforcement learning for financial signal representation and trading. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems, 32*(1), 33–45. https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2020.2978383

Dixon, M., Halperin, I., & Bilokon, P. (2021). *Machine learning in finance: From theory to practice*. Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-49277-6

Dwivedi, Y. K., et al. (2023). Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on challenges and policy. *International Journal of Information Management, 66*, 102642. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2022.102642

Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, D. L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., ... & Williams, M. D. (2023). Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management, 66*, 102642. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2022.102642

Fiore, U., De Santis, A., Perla, F., Zanetti, P., & Palmieri, F. (2021). Using generative adversarial networks for improving classification effectiveness in credit card fraud detection. *Information Sciences, 560*, 448–464. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2021.01.032

Fischer, T., & Krauss, C. (2018). Deep learning with long short-term memory networks for financial market predictions. *European Journal of Operational Research, 270*(2), 654–669. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2017.11.054

Gai, K., Qiu, M., & Sun, X. (2020). A survey on FinTech. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications, 103*, 262–273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2020.102642

Gomber, P., et al. (2022). On the Fintech revolution: Interpreting innovation and disruption in financial services. *Journal of Management Information Systems, 38*(2), 220–265. https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2021.1912900

Gomber, P., Kauffman, R. J., Parker, C., & Weber, B. W. (2022). On the Fintech revolution: Interpreting the forces of innovation, disruption, and transformation in financial services. *Journal of Management Information Systems, 38*(2), 220–265. https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2021.1912900

Gupta, M., & Pathak, A. A. (2022). Artificial intelligence and financial markets: A review of trends, challenges and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research, 138*, 239–253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.09.060

Hofmann, T., & Ramamurthy, R. (2023). Systemic risk and predictive stability of AI models in credit scoring: A longitudinal perspective. *Journal of Risk Model Validation, 17*(1), 45–62. https://doi.org/10.21314/JRMV.2023.287

Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, *1*(9), 389–399. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0088-2

Jurgovsky, J., Granitzer, M., Ziegler, K., Calabretto, S., Portier, P. E., He-Guelton, L., & Caelen, O. (2019). Sequence classification for credit-card fraud detection. *Expert Systems with Applications, 100*, 234–245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2018.12.029

Khader, M., Singh, S., & Bose, I. (2023). Machine learning for AML detection: A hybrid approach using clustering and risk scoring. *Decision Support Systems*, *166*, 113890. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2023.113890

Kumar, A., & Mishra, R. (2023). Combatting evolving fraud risks: Towards adversarial-aware AI systems. *Journal of Cybersecurity*, *9*(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1093/cybsec/tyad007

Lessmann, S., Baesens, B., Seow, H. V., & Thomas, L. C. (2019). Benchmarking state-of-the-art classification algorithms for credit scoring: An update of research. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *274*(2), 608–624. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2018.10.018

Li, C., Jin, Y., & Shi, Y. (2020). Tackling class imbalance in fraud detection using cost-sensitive learning. *Neural Computing and Applications*, *32*, 10783–10795. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-019-04523-0

Li, X., Xu, Y., & Zhang, L. (2022). Credit scoring using machine learning techniques: A comparative analysis. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *187*, 115892. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2021.115892

Lin, H., Zhang, J., & Chen, Y. (2023). Deep learning credit risk assessment for mobile financial services in emerging markets. *Decision Support Systems*, *166*, 113873. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2023.113873

Luo, Y., Zhang, S., & Huang, Y. (2023). AI in stress testing: Bridging accuracy and transparency in financial risk modeling. *Journal of Banking Regulation*, *24*(1), 65–78. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41261-022-00177-2

Mai, Y., Yang, H., & Chen, L. (2021). Improving loan default prediction using deep learning and alternative data. *Decision Support Systems*, *142*, 113468. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2020.113468

Manogaran, G., & Lopez, D. (2019). A survey of big data architectures and machine learning algorithms in healthcare. *Journal of King Saud University - Computer and Information Sciences*, *31*(4), 415–423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksuci.2018.09.014

Mehrabi, N., et al. (2021). A survey on bias and fairness in machine learning. *ACM Computing Surveys*, *54*(6), 1–35. https://doi.org/10.1145/3457607

Milosevic, N., Doan, T., & Chen, R. (2023). Enhancing compliance automation with NLP and semantic analysis. *Decision Support Systems*, *168*, 113930. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2023.113930

Ngai, E. W. T., Hu, Y., Wong, Y. H., Chen, Y., & Sun, X. (2022). The application of data mining techniques in financial fraud detection: A classification framework and an academic review of literature. *Decision Support Systems, 163*, 113850. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2022.113850

Nguyen, T. H., Ngo, L. V., & Ruël, H. (2020). Exploring the roles of AI in customer behavior monitoring and fraud prevention. *Journal of Business Research, 129*, 823–834. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.06.032

Ribeiro, M. T., Singh, S., & Guestrin, C. (2020). Beyond accuracy: Model explanations for understanding predictive models in finance. *Journal of Financial Data Science, 2*(3), 15–30. https://doi.org/10.3905/jfds.2020.1.028

Roy, S., Sinha, R., & Ghosh, S. (2022). Fraud detection using deep learning in highly imbalanced financial datasets. *Applied Soft Computing, 122*, 108837. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2022.108837

Rudin, C. (2019). Stop explaining black-box models and use interpretable ones. *Nature Machine Intelligence, 1*(5), 206–215. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0048-x

Shafique, M., & Qaiser, H. (2020). A comparative study of data mining techniques for credit card fraud detection. *Journal of Financial Crime, 27*(3), 907–922. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-06-2019-0071

Siering, M., Hofmann, A., & Welker, M. (2021). Digitalization of compliance management: Real-time monitoring through AI-driven analytics. *Business & Information Systems Engineering, 63*, 117–132. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-020-00657-4

Wamba-Taguimdje, S. L., Fosso Wamba, S., Kala Kamdjoug, J. R., & Tchatchouang Wanko, C. E. (2020). Influence of artificial intelligence (AI) on firm performance: The business value of AI-based transformation projects. *Business Process Management Journal, 26*(7), 1893–1924. https://doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-10-2019-0411

Wang, J., Zhang, D., & Liu, J. (2021). Real-time fraud detection using incremental ensemble learning. *Expert Systems with Applications, 184*, 115518. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2021.115518

Wang, Z., Yu, H., & Yang, Y. (2022). Fairness-aware machine learning for credit scoring in inclusive finance. *Journal of Banking & Finance, 134*, 106289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2021.106289

Weber, A., López, D., & Franco, J. (2022). AML risk assessment using graph learning and NLP on financial knowledge graphs. *Information Processing & Management, 59*(6), 103111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2022.103111

Yin, Y., Kaynak, O., & Yao, Y. (2020). Data-driven predictive maintenance planning framework for operational risk mitigation. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 16*(8), 5329–5338. https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2019.2958112

Zetzsche, D. A., Buckley, R. P., Arner, D. W., & Barberis, J. N. (2020). SupTech: RegTech for regulators. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance, 28*(1), 74–89. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRC-07-2019-0099

Zhang, X., et al. (2023). Testing robustness of AI models under financial shocks. *Journal of Financial Stability*, *63*, 100963. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfs.2023.100963

Zhou, D., & Zhang, W. (2023). The role of artificial intelligence in financial risk management: Challenges and future directions. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *187*, 122174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.122174