



# Strategic Leadership at the Crossroads of Power, Communication, and Change

Syifa Awalia<sup>1\*</sup>, Suparno<sup>2</sup>, Saparuddin Mukhtar<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup> Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia

Corresponding author: [syifa.awalia@mhs.unj.ac.id](mailto:syifa.awalia@mhs.unj.ac.id)

**Abstract.** Strategic leadership is increasingly challenged by organizational volatility, digital disruption, and shifting stakeholder expectations, exposing the limitations of hierarchical and directive leadership models. This literature review addresses these issues by examining how contemporary leaders mobilize power, communication, and change as interdependent capabilities to navigate complexity and drive transformation. Integrating discursive, distributed, and relational-ethical leadership theories, the analysis highlights the critical roles of sensemaking, psychological safety, and empowerment in fostering adaptive capacity and innovation. The review reveals that leaders who frame power as shared influence and use communication as a tool for alignment and narrative construction are better equipped to manage resistance, sustain engagement, and support organizational learning. Core implications point to the need for leadership development models that prioritize participatory approaches, communicative agility, and context-sensitive decision-making—particularly in crisis-prone and emerging market environments where adaptability and relational trust are paramount for long-term resilience and strategic success.

**Keywords:** adaptive capability, communication, empowerment, organizational change, strategic leadership

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In today's complex organizational landscapes, strategic leadership is increasingly defined by the convergence of power, communication, and change. No longer reliant solely on hierarchical authority, effective leaders are those who can articulate vision, manage conflict, and mobilize transformation through adaptive communication and relational influence (Uhl-Bien & Arena, 2021; Kouzes & Posner, 2023). As global shifts—technological, geopolitical, and societal—reshape institutional structures, leadership must evolve from directive control to context-sensitive engagement, where communication functions as a strategic lever for aligning stakeholders and legitimizing action (Tourish & Robson, 2022; Fairhurst, 2024). Rather than being imposed, change is facilitated through discourse and the framing of power within relational networks (Ford et al., 2023). Strategic leadership thus emerges not as a singular act of authority but as a multidimensional practice embedded in dialogue and dynamic influence.

Despite substantial scholarly interest, existing research often isolates power, communication, and change rather than treating them as interconnected dimensions of leadership (Carmeli & Waldman, 2023). Power is frequently examined through structural hierarchies, overlooking its communicative enactment; communication, though recognized for its importance, is still treated as a supporting tool rather than a strategic force; and change management studies tend to underplay how leaders navigate stakeholder narratives and political tensions in tandem (Laureani & Antony, 2022; Zafeiropoulou & Sdrolias,

2022). This fragmented approach neglects the complexity facing contemporary leaders who must negotiate influence, construct shared meaning, and foster resilience in volatile environments (Pereira et al., 2021; Stadler et al., 2022).

To address this gap, the present study explores how strategic leaders integrate power, communication, and change as mutually reinforcing practices. It investigates how authority—both formal and informal—is exercised through discourse, and how leaders craft narratives to catalyze transformation across diverse and often contested organizational settings (Carmeli & Waldman, 2023; Laureani & Antony, 2022). Drawing from leadership theory, communication studies, and change management literature, the research constructs a holistic framework of strategic leadership suited to contemporary organizational demands (Pereira et al., 2021).

Two guiding questions shape this inquiry: First, how do strategic leaders use power and influence to facilitate change? Second, what role does communication play in enabling strategic leadership during transformation? These questions reflect the urgent need to understand leadership not as a linear process of command, but as a dynamic interaction of narrative construction, trust-building, and adaptive action (Zafeiropoulou & Sdrolas, 2022; Stadler et al., 2022). In an era marked by disruption, the capacity to link influence, discourse, and organizational direction is essential. Through this integrated exploration, the study aims to advance both theoretical clarity and practical insight into the evolving nature of strategic leadership.

## **2. LITERATURE REVIEW**

### **Strategic Leadership**

Strategic leadership involves shaping long-term organizational direction, aligning internal capabilities with external challenges, and fostering innovation amid uncertainty (Stadler et al., 2022). Beyond administrative oversight, strategic leaders enact change by integrating diverse viewpoints, promoting ethical governance, and driving cultural alignment (Zafeiropoulou & Sdrolas, 2022). In today's volatile environment, their role extends to leveraging relational influence and communication to build resilience and adaptability (Pereira et al., 2021; Carmeli & Waldman, 2023). Recent perspectives emphasize strategic leadership as a multidimensional construct encompassing foresight, influence, and agility (Laureani & Antony, 2022).

### **Power and Influence in Leadership**

Power and influence, central to leadership effectiveness, increasingly rely on relational and discursive practices rather than formal authority alone (Carmeli & Waldman, 2023). Influence operates through trust, credibility, and narrative resonance, allowing leaders to align stakeholders and enable transformation (Laureani & Antony, 2022). In fluid environments, balancing directive and participative styles fosters psychological safety and innovation (Zafeiropoulou & Sdrolias, 2022). Symbolic actions and storytelling are essential tools through which power is mobilized and adapted to shifting contexts (Pereira et al., 2021; Stadler et al., 2022).

### **Communication in Leadership**

Communication is a core leadership competency—used not only to transmit information but to construct meaning, manage ambiguity, and inspire alignment (Laureani & Antony, 2022; Pereira et al., 2021). Effective strategic leaders build trust through authentic, dialogic exchanges that support engagement and adaptability (Carmeli & Waldman, 2023). Narrative framing and symbolic discourse enable influence without reliance on hierarchy, especially during transformation (Zafeiropoulou & Sdrolias, 2022; Stadler et al., 2022). As diversity and complexity increase, communication becomes integral to strategic execution and cultural cohesion.

### **Leading Organizational Change**

Leading change requires emotional intelligence, vision alignment, and cultural adaptability. Strategic leaders act as agents of transformation by engaging stakeholders, reframing norms, and sustaining momentum through trust-building communication (Carmeli & Waldman, 2023). Their ability to construct compelling narratives and foster resilience is critical in managing disruption (Zafeiropoulou & Sdrolias, 2022; Pereira et al., 2021). Communication plays a key role in reducing resistance and generating commitment by fostering psychological safety and inclusive dialogue (Laureani & Antony, 2022; Stadler et al., 2022).

### **Integrative Gaps in Literature**

While power, communication, and change have each been examined extensively, few studies integrate them into a unified leadership model (Zafeiropoulou & Sdrolias, 2022). The interplay between influence and narrative framing in driving transformation

remains underdeveloped (Carmeli & Waldman, 2023), as does the role of communication as a strategic mechanism beyond operational contexts (Laureani & Antony, 2022). In emerging and digitally transforming markets, research often omits how symbolic leadership fosters legitimacy and change readiness (Pereira et al., 2021; Stadler et al., 2022). A more integrative perspective is thus needed to capture the dynamic interdependencies shaping effective strategic leadership.

### **3. METHODS**

This study employs a Systematic Literature Review guided by the PRISMA 2020 protocol to ensure transparency, rigor, and replicability (Page et al., 2021; Tricco et al., 2023). Literature was sourced from Scopus, Web of Science, JSTOR, and Google Scholar, focusing on peer-reviewed English-language articles published between 2021 and 2025 that explore the intersection of strategic leadership, power/influence, communication, and organizational change (Agha & Saeed, 2022; Zhang et al., 2021; Heidari et al., 2022). Non-academic sources, opinion pieces, and studies lacking thematic alignment were excluded (Chang et al., 2022; Gheorghiu et al., 2023). Using the search string (“Strategic leadership”) AND (“power” OR “influence”) AND (“communication”) AND (“organizational change”), relevant studies were identified and subjected to thematic coding based on Braun and Clarke’s framework, with multiple coders and software tools ensuring analytical consistency and interpretive depth (Jalali et al., 2021; Jabbar et al., 2023).

### **4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Power as Empowerment**

In the evolving discourse of strategic leadership, power is increasingly conceptualized not as dominance but as empowerment mobilizing the capacities of others to drive organizational transformation. Empowerment-oriented leadership fosters autonomy, ownership, and commitment among followers, catalyzing proactive engagement in change processes (Hubbard, 2024). This shift from hierarchical control to distributed influence aligns with transformational leadership theories that emphasize psychological empowerment as a key mechanism for enhancing organizational performance (Dweck et al., 2023). Studies demonstrate that when strategic leaders view power as a shared resource, it builds trust and collective efficacy, enabling smoother adaptation in turbulent environments (Murphy & Tang, 2021). Empowerment-driven power strategies also mitigate resistance to change by promoting inclusion and participatory decision-making

(Santos et al., 2022). Furthermore, organizations that institutionalize empowerment within leadership frameworks report higher resilience and innovation capability (Rathore & Nguyen, 2025). These findings suggest that power, when redefined as empowerment, becomes a catalyst for enduring strategic transformation.

### **Communication as Strategic Leverage**

Effective communication has emerged as a strategic tool that enables leaders to navigate complexity, foster trust, and drive change initiatives with precision. In the context of strategic leadership, communication extends beyond message transmission it becomes a deliberate mechanism for sensemaking, framing vision, and influencing stakeholder alignment (Martínez & Chávez, 2023). Leaders who employ dialogic and adaptive communication styles enhance engagement and reduce uncertainty during organizational transitions (Lee & Ahn, 2021). Transparent and targeted communication also strengthens organizational readiness and commitment to change, particularly when aligned with cultural and emotional intelligence cues (Franz & Walters, 2022). Additionally, digital communication channels especially post-pandemic, have amplified leaders' strategic reach, allowing real-time narrative shaping and stakeholder mobilization (Nguyen et al., 2024). As a result, communication not only disseminates information but serves as a dynamic form of strategic leverage that integrates people, processes, and purpose in transformative agendas (Alshahrani & Khan, 2025).

### **Integrated Leadership Practice**

Integrated leadership practice refers to the holistic fusion of leadership dimensions, strategic visioning, power navigation, communication dynamics, and adaptive change to produce cohesive, impactful leadership action. Rather than treating these elements as isolated competencies, emerging research argues for their simultaneous orchestration to address complex organizational challenges. Leaders who integrate cognitive, emotional, and political intelligences are more likely to succeed in turbulent environments, as they foster trust, coherence, and shared direction across boundaries (Singh & Dhir, 2023). This integrative orientation enhances decision-making, especially when aligning strategic priorities with emergent stakeholder needs (Müller et al., 2022). Furthermore, studies show that integrated leadership models are positively associated with increased change readiness and innovation performance, as they synthesize power, participation, and values-based dialogue (Rahman & Budhwar, 2021; Goyal & Wirtz, 2024). Importantly, such integration

is not static but evolves with context, enabling leaders to recalibrate influence strategies and communication forms in real time during organizational transformation (Alomari et al., 2025).

### **Emergent Conceptual Framework (optional)**

The emergent conceptual framework in strategic leadership integrates evolving paradigms that highlight the dynamic interplay between power, communication, and organizational change. Contemporary research emphasizes how leaders must continuously interpret shifting internal and external conditions to realign strategies and foster adaptability within their organizations (Krause et al., 2023). This adaptive leadership requires communicative competence to co-construct meaning and direction among stakeholders, thus enabling coherent responses to complex environments (van den Heuvel et al., 2021). The framework also considers how power dynamics are not static but are continually negotiated through discursive and symbolic practices, influencing strategic choices and organizational identity (Kong et al., 2022). As organizations face increased volatility, the emergent framework posits that strategic leadership must transcend traditional models and embrace relational and processual views of change (Turnnidge & Côté, 2023). Furthermore, digital transformation introduces new layers to this framework, redefining how leaders enact power and mediate change through technologically mediated communication networks (Sieweke et al., 2024).

### **Comparative**

Comparative analysis in strategic leadership research provides essential insights by evaluating how leadership practices vary across contexts, sectors, and cultural dynamics in relation to power, communication, and change. Studies have shown that comparing leadership frameworks across public and private organizations reveals nuanced differences in how influence and authority are exercised, particularly during periods of transformation (Carreno, 2024). For instance, while some leaders leverage top-down power structures, others foster participatory environments that empower teams through dialogic communication (Smith et al., 2025). Comparative studies also illuminate how communication strategies differ in hierarchical versus decentralized systems, affecting change readiness and resistance levels (Chelangat, 2023). Moreover, global analyses underscore how cultural and geopolitical contexts shape leadership narratives and legitimacy in change initiatives (Douvrou, 2025). Lastly, research using comparative

network and content analysis demonstrates how digital platforms are reshaping leadership communication and the distribution of strategic influence across organizations (Jiang et al., 2025). These findings highlight the value of comparative approaches for developing robust, context-sensitive leadership models.

## **Discussion**

Strategic leadership continues to play a pivotal role in navigating organizational change, particularly in complex and uncertain environments. Recent studies emphasize that effective communication is not merely a tool but a strategic function that shapes organizational narratives and power structures (Aboramadan et al., 2022). Leaders who utilize communication to foster transparency and shared vision are more successful in managing resistance to change and in sustaining employee engagement during transitions (Wang et al., 2023). Moreover, power dynamics significantly influence how change strategies are implemented, with distributed and participative leadership models showing greater adaptability and long-term impact (García-Lorenzo et al., 2022). A growing body of evidence suggests that empowering employees through inclusive leadership enhances psychological safety and innovation during change processes (Ibrahim et al., 2024). Strategic leaders must, therefore, balance authority with collaboration, utilizing influence rather than coercion to mobilize change agents across hierarchical levels (García-Morales et al., 2021).

## **5. IMPLICATIONS**

### **Theoretical Contributions**

Recent scholarship reframes strategic leadership as a dynamic capability integrating power, cognition, and communication to navigate complexity and drive change (Nguyen & De Clercq, 2021). Leaders are increasingly viewed as sensemakers who bridge internal dynamics with external volatility, shaping organizational meaning through discourse (Vera et al., 2023; Pereira et al., 2022). Integrating paradox theory further illuminates how leaders balance competing demands—such as innovation and efficiency—to maintain adaptability (Zhu & Akhtar, 2021). These theoretical advances extend to macro-level institutional effects, underscoring leadership discourse as a driver of systemic transformation (Khan et al., 2024).

## **Practical Implications**

Practically, strategic leadership enhances organizational agility by aligning communication with goals, fostering engagement, and enabling collaborative change (Kohtamäki et al., 2022). Influence should be exercised through empowerment rather than control, cultivating shared vision and commitment (Eacott, 2022). Leaders who demonstrate contextual and relational intelligence can anticipate resistance and build trust during transitions (Zorn et al., 2023), while communication practices that create psychological safety promote innovation and performance (Richter et al., 2022). Importantly, leadership models must evolve from heroic individualism to inclusive, distributed approaches (Bolden & Jones, 2022).

## **Limitations and Future Research**

Current literature often relies on qualitative or cross-sectional designs, limiting generalizability (Awuzie & Emuze, 2022). Future studies should adopt mixed methods and longitudinal approaches to better capture leadership dynamics over time (Hameed et al., 2024). There is also a need to embed communication agility and ethical influence into leadership development, particularly under digital and high-stakes conditions (Uslu, 2023). As technology mediates more leadership functions, examining its impact on power and decision-making is essential (Dzyabura et al., 2023). Additionally, deeper exploration of power asymmetries and cultural variation in change contexts would strengthen theoretical and practical insights (Hidayat & Siregar, 2023).

## **6. CONCLUSION**

Strategic leadership today demands a dynamic integration of power, communication, and change, where influence is exercised through empowerment, dialogue, and adaptability rather than hierarchical control. This review demonstrates that leaders who frame power as a shared resource, leverage communication for meaning-making, and align strategic vision with stakeholder engagement are better positioned to guide organizations through complexity and transformation. Theoretical contributions underscore leadership as a sensemaking and paradox-managing capability, while practical implications call for participatory, trust-based models that prioritize psychological safety and agility. As digital and cultural shifts continue to reshape organizational landscapes, future research must deepen inquiry into how leaders negotiate evolving power structures and communicative practices to drive sustainable change.



## REFERENCES

- Aboramadan, M., Albashiti, B., & Dahleez, K. (2022). Strategic leadership and organizational performance: The mediating role of knowledge management and learning orientation. *European Business Review*, 34(3), 377–397.
- Alomari, K., Idris, W. M., & Sabri, M. (2025). Dynamic integration of leadership practices in public sector reform. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 46(1), 55–72.
- Alshahrani, A., & Khan, M. S. (2025). Strategic communication in leadership: Linking transparency and change outcomes. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 91(2), 215–229.
- Awuzie, B. O., & Emuze, F. A. (2022). Rethinking the utility of qualitative research in built environment studies. *Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology*, 20(6), 1330–1345.
- Bolden, R., & Jones, S. (2022). Distributed leadership in practice: Rethinking leadership for organizational effectiveness. *Leadership*, 18(3), 379–396.
- Carmeli, A., & Waldman, D. A. (2023). Leadership, power, and psychological safety in organizational transformation. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 34(2), 101677.
- Carreno, A. M. (2024). *Breaking down silos: Strategies to overcome the collaboration trap and drive innovation*.
- Chelangat, S. N. (2023). *An analysis into the role of human resource in facilitating change: A case study of Bio Food Ltd*. Kenyatta University Repository.
- Douvoulou, E. D. (2025). *Comparative competence through virtual exchange: Achieving the SDGs*. Springer.
- Dweck, C. S., Zhang, Y., & Cardenas, E. (2023). Empowering leadership and mindset development: A multi-level perspective. *Leadership Quarterly*, 34(1), 102–117.
- Dzyabura, D., Elberse, A., & Konus, U. (2023). Leadership strategies in the digital age: Evidence from dynamic markets. *Journal of Marketing*, 87(3), 123–143.
- Eacott, S. (2022). Rethinking power in leadership: Beyond the leader-follower binary. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 50(5), 707–720.
- Fairhurst, G. T. (2024). Discursive leadership in the age of complexity: Communication as a tool of strategic sensemaking. *Journal of Communication Management*, 28(1), 10–29.
- Ford, J., Harding, N., & Learmonth, M. (2023). Leadership as lived experience: Reframing change leadership as sensemaking. *Human Relations*, 76(3), 381–401.
- Franz, B., & Walters, K. (2022). Leading through language: Strategic communication and emotional resonance in change management. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 43(7), 1044–1060.

- García-Lorenzo, L., Donnelly, P., Sell-Trujillo, L., & Imas, M. (2022). Leadership in times of change: Decolonizing practices and inclusive discourse. *Academy of Management Discoveries*, 8(3), 408–425.
- García-Morales, V. J., Lloréns-Montes, F. J., & Verdú-Jover, A. J. (2021). Strategic leadership and organizational learning: The mediating role of innovation capability. *Journal of Business Research*, 135, 806–817.
- Goyal, S., & Wirtz, J. (2024). Leadership integration for organizational resilience. *Journal of Strategic Change*, 33(1), 29–47.
- Hameed, Z., Khan, R. I., & Rasheed, M. I. (2024). Strategic leadership and organizational change: Role of commitment and communication. *Management Research Review*.
- Hidayat, R., & Siregar, A. (2023). Resistance to organizational change in Indonesian public institutions: A leadership perspective. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 36(2), 172–188.
- Hubbard, M. C. (2024). *Reconsidering Glasser's basic needs in lead-management theory: Testing the validity of the original basic needs, disentangling the power construct, and reconceptualizing empowerment*. School of Strategic Leadership Studies, James Madison University.
- Ibrahim, S., Rasheed, M. I., & Asad, M. (2024). Inclusive leadership and employee outcomes: The mediating role of psychological empowerment. *Journal of Business Research*, 161, 113865.
- Jiang, K., Xu, W., & Danowski, J. (2025). Network analysis of social media texts for strategic leadership research. *Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frma.2025.1558798>
- Khan, Z., Lew, Y. K., & Zaheer, A. (2024). Institutional complexity and the strategic role of leadership. *Strategic Organization*, 22(1), 98–117.
- Kohtamäki, M., Ritala, P., Coiacetto, E., & Kraus, S. (2022). Strategic communication and dynamic capabilities: A multi-level perspective. *Long Range Planning*, 55(1), 102116.
- Kong, E., Lee, S., & Schenkel, M. T. (2022). Power, discourse, and strategic renewal in dynamic environments. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 36(3), 350–366.
- Kouzes, J. M., & Posner, B. Z. (2023). *The leadership challenge* (7th ed.).
- Krause, D. E., DeChurch, L. A., & Marks, M. A. (2023). Adaptive leadership and organizational resilience: Building capacity for dynamic change. *Journal of Management*, 49(2), 321–342.
- Laureani, A., & Antony, J. (2022). Leadership communication and lean transformation: A systemic approach. *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*, 13(1), 75–91.
- Lee, J., & Ahn, M. J. (2021). Leadership communication strategies for organizational change. *International Journal of Business Communication*, 58(4), 589–609.

- Martínez, R., & Chávez, H. (2023). Strategic communication and leadership framing in organizational renewal. *Journal of Strategic Management Studies*, 31(2), 134–148.
- Müller, R., Turner, J. R., & Andersen, E. S. (2022). Complexity and integrated leadership in change-intensive organizations. *International Journal of Project Management*, 40(5), 412–425.
- Murphy, L., & Tang, L. (2021). Distributed leadership as empowerment: Rethinking strategic influence in modern organizations. *Journal of Business Research*, 136, 564–572.
- Nguyen, L. T., Brown, A., & Chen, R. (2024). The role of digital communication in strategic leadership post-COVID-19. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 37(1), 22–40.
- Nguyen, M. H., & De Clercq, D. (2021). Leadership as a dynamic capability: Toward a dialogical framework. *Management Decision*, 59(6), 1298–1314.
- Pereira, V., Temouri, Y., & Malik, A. (2022). Strategic leadership in crisis: Communication, narratives, and organizational renewal. *Journal of Business Research*, 148, 254–264.
- Pereira, V., Temouri, Y., & Vazquez-Brust, D. A. (2021). Strategic leadership in emerging markets: Managing institutions, networks, and change. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, 8(2), 167–185.
- Rahman, M., & Budhwar, P. (2021). Integrated leadership and change in emerging markets: A strategic HRM perspective. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 38(3), 713–732.
- Rathore, A., & Nguyen, T. H. (2025). Strategic leadership and organizational empowerment: A pathway to resilience. *Asian Business & Management*, 24(2), 230–248.
- Richter, A., Leyer, M., & Wagner, H. T. (2022). Leadership communication and team psychological safety: A digital work context. *Information & Management*, 59(3), 103552.
- Santos, M. J., Oliveira, P., & Costa, A. P. (2022). Power to the people: Empowerment practices in public sector leadership. *Public Management Review*, 24(6), 841–859.
- Sieweke, J., Birkner, S., & Hutzschenreuter, T. (2024). Digitalization and strategic leadership: An emergent framework for communication and power reconfiguration. *Journal of Business Research*, 167, 114010.
- Singh, N., & Dhir, S. (2023). Integrative strategic leadership: Synthesizing agility, power, and communication. *Journal of Business Strategy*, 44(2), 167–183.
- Smith, S., Akinola, M., & Alemann, C. (2025). Shifting the paradigm: Insights from feminist research on power dynamics between Global North funders and Global South programme originators. *Global Social Challenges Journal*.
- Stadler, C., Fullerton, J., & Vredenburg, H. (2022). Corporate strategy and sustainability: Strategic leadership in times of transformation. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 38(1), 100763.

- Tourish, D., & Robson, P. (2022). The role of communication in leadership: The case for critical performativity. *Leadership*, 18(1), 45–62.
- Turnnidge, J., & Côté, J. (2023). Relational leadership in organizational change: A process-based perspective. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 34(1), 101605.
- Uhl-Bien, M., & Arena, M. (2021). Complexity leadership: Enabling people and organizations for adaptability. *Organizational Dynamics*, 50(2), 100787.
- Uslu, T. (2023). Ethical leadership and communication competence in digital environments. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 188(1), 219–238.
- van den Heuvel, M., Demerouti, E., & Bakker, A. B. (2021). Strategic communication and leadership in times of crisis. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 30(2), 169–181.
- Vera, D., Crossan, M., & Lane, H. (2023). Rethinking leadership sensemaking in dynamic environments. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*.
- Wang, Y., Wang, H., & Wang, S. (2023). The role of strategic leadership in employee voice during organizational change. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 44(2), 255–270.
- Zafeiropoulou, F., & Sdrolas, L. (2022). The contribution of strategic leadership to change management processes. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 35(3), 468–485.
- Zhu, H., & Akhtar, P. (2021). Strategic leadership and paradox resolution: A theoretical integration. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 35(2), 181–195.
- Zorn, T., Searle, G., & Vickers, M. (2023). Leading through complexity: Communication strategies for organizational change. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 36(1), 101–120.