

# The Role of Moral Considerations in Blockchain Technology and Its Impact on Tax Compliance: A Literature Review

I Gusti Putu Eka Rustiana Dewi

Universitas Mahasaraswati Denpasar, Indonesia.

E-mail: [rustiana\\_dewi@unmas.ac.id](mailto:rustiana_dewi@unmas.ac.id)

Alamat: Jl. Kamboja No. 11A Denpasar, 80233

Korespondensi penulis: [rustiana\\_dewi@unmas.ac.id](mailto:rustiana_dewi@unmas.ac.id)

**Abstract.** *This study of the literature examines the interaction between blockchain technology and moral considerations, with a particular emphasis on its impact on tax compliance. Blockchain's incorporation into tax systems creates both new ethical options and concerns as it continues to transform data security, transparency, and traceability. This paper explores the ethical dimensions of tax compliance within digital environments through a qualitative literature review. The study reviews previous research on the relationship between taxpayers' moral ideals, ethical decision-making, and perceptions of tax justice and the intrinsic properties of blockchain, such as immutability and decentralization. According to the findings, moral factors—such as social norms, individual ethical frameworks, and trust in institutions—have a significant impact on how taxpayers behave in blockchain-based settings. The review also emphasizes how blockchain can improve voluntary tax compliance by increasing transparency, but it can also have drawbacks if moral considerations are ignored. This study provides a conceptual framework for researchers and policymakers who want to create tax systems that are both ethically sound and technologically sophisticated by combining insights from interdisciplinary studies.*

**Keywords:** *Blockchain Technology, Ethics, Moral Consideration, Tax Compliance.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Significant concerns regarding the ways in which developing technologies impact social behavior have been brought to light in recent years by the convergence of digital innovation and ethical examination. Blockchain is one of these technologies that has the potential to revolutionize governance frameworks, financial systems, and regulatory compliance. Blockchain technology, which is characterized by decentralization, transparency, and immutability, is especially well-positioned to change the tax compliance landscape by bringing new methods for documenting, confirming, and reporting financial transactions. However, the moral and ethical factors that affect user behavior in blockchain-enabled systems, particularly concerning tax obligations, have received less attention than the technological aspects of blockchain, which have received a lot of attention from academia and industry. The purpose of this study is to investigate how blockchain technology and moral considerations interact, and how this interaction affects tax compliance behavior.

Governments around the world continue to struggle with tax compliance, and tax evasion results in large losses of public money. The OECD (2021) estimates that hundreds of billions of dollars are lost every year as a result of global tax avoidance. Due to borderless networks, little regulatory control, and the pseudonymous character of transactions, the emergence of blockchain technology and cryptocurrencies has complicated tax enforcement. Blockchain promises greater traceability and transparency, but ironically, it also makes it easier for people to evade and avoid paying taxes. A moral conundrum is raised by this duality: blockchain can be used to either encourage virtuous financial conduct or to hide taxable income. Beyond technical analysis, a thorough examination of the ethical aspects and moral reasoning that influence behavior is necessary to comprehend what drives people and organizations to comply or not comply with tax laws in the blockchain setting.

A key component of efficient government is tax compliance, which allows states to finance social programs, infrastructure, and public services. Non-compliance, however, is still a major issue, particularly in areas with intricate tax laws or lax enforcement. The tax compliance situation has become even more complex with the introduction of blockchain technology. Created as the foundational protocol for digital currencies such as Bitcoin, blockchain has expanded into a more comprehensive technological framework that facilitates tokenized economies, smart contracts, and decentralized applications. Traditional ideas of financial accountability, value transfer, and ownership have all been reinterpreted by these developments.

One of the main problems with blockchain is that, while it promises radical transparency through its immutable ledger, which makes it possible to verify and audit transactions in real-time, it also makes anonymity (or pseudonymity) possible, which can make it difficult to identify the people behind transactions and make tax evasion easier. Users of decentralized finance (DeFi) systems or cryptocurrencies, for instance, can transfer substantial amounts of money across borders without triggering conventional financial reporting procedures. Because of this, blockchain presents both enormous hurdles for tax authorities and previously unheard-of potential for regulatory compliance.

Beyond the technical and legal aspects, there is a more profound moral and behavioral conundrum. Law enforcement and economic calculations are only two factors that influence tax compliance; people's ethical convictions, social standards, and views of justice also play a role. Moral commitments, such as civic duty, faith in the government, and social responsibility, frequently determine whether or not people follow tax regulations in traditional contexts. These

moral pillars might be upended by the decentralized nature of blockchain. Blockchain can reduce the perceived moral need to contribute through taxation by establishing a psychological distance between the individual and the state through the removal of centralized middlemen.

Furthermore, the ideology underlying blockchain frequently places a strong emphasis on privacy, autonomy, and defiance of centralized authority—values that can be at odds with the ethical justifications for tax compliance. Tax resistance is even presented in certain internet forums as a kind of protest or freedom against the alleged overreach or inefficiency of the government. This begs the fundamental question: What moral incentives are left to promote voluntary tax compliance in a setting where people are empowered to manage their finances with little supervision? When institutional responses are considered, the phenomenon becomes even more complicated. Blockchain is being used more and more by governments to manage public finances through programmable currency, digital tax filing systems, and blockchain-based auditing tools. However, these initiatives frequently fall behind the swift advancement of decentralized technologies. Policy interventions may not effectively address the underlying reasons for non-compliance if users' moral perspectives are not understood about these technologies.

The technical and legal facets of blockchain in taxation have been the subject of numerous researches. Marian (2013), for example, looked at how digital currencies threaten established taxation, and Tapscott & Tapscott (2016) emphasized how blockchain technology could improve public financial openness. Kirchler et al. (2008) investigated the psychological factors that influence tax compliance from a behavioral standpoint, including perceived fairness and trust in authority. More recently, Rogers and Picard (2020) proposed that blockchain might affect taxpayer behavior by making transactions more visible and auditable. But this research doesn't incorporate a moral framework; instead, they mostly focus on psychological or regulatory reactions. Some scholars have examined tax compliance from an ethical perspective, such as McGee (2012), who contends that people's moral convictions have a big impact on their desire to abide by the law.

The relationship between moral considerations and blockchain-based tax behavior is still poorly understood, despite the increased interest in both blockchain and tax compliance. The majority of the literature either concentrates on the legal ramifications of crypto-assets or the technical application of blockchain in taxation. Studies rarely look at how people's moral judgments combine with the affordances of blockchain technology, like anonymity, transparency, and decentralization, to influence tax compliance. A thorough literature review

that closes this vacuum by examining previous studies from the perspectives of behavioral economics, ethical decision-making, and moral philosophy about blockchain technology is desperately needed.

This literature review's main research question, which aims to close this gap, is "How do moral considerations influence tax compliance in the context of blockchain technology?" Included in the sub-question are: 1) What ethical frameworks have been used to analyze digital environments' tax compliance? 2) What ethical implications does the structure of blockchain have for tax obligations? 3) How may decentralization and openness either strengthen or weaken moral behavior in taxation?

## **2. LITERATURE REVIEW**

It takes an interdisciplinary approach that incorporates ideas from institutional theory, moral psychology, behavioral ethics, and technological adoption models to comprehend how moral considerations, blockchain technology, and tax compliance interact. This theoretical framework offers a thorough lens through which to investigate how blockchain affects moral judgment in situations involving taxes.

### **1) Moral Philosophy and Tax Compliance**

Moral concerns and legal requirements both have an impact on tax compliance. Conventional economic models, like the one proposed by Allingham and Sandmo (1972), emphasize the trade-off between the costs of detection and punishment and the alleged advantages of evasion. Nevertheless, these models frequently ignore the non-financial elements like moral convictions and societal norms that affect compliance choices. According to Kirchler et al. (2008)'s Slippery Slope Framework (SSF), government power (deterrence through enforcement) and public trust in authorities (moral incentive) are the two primary determinants of tax compliance. By improving auditability (power) and providing transparent systems that have the potential to either strengthen or weaken confidence, blockchain technology can have an effect on both aspects.

### **2) Behavioral Ethics in Digital Contexts**

The study of behavioral ethics examines how people make moral choices in practical settings, frequently impacted by environmental variables, societal norms, and cognitive biases. These theories aid in explaining why users may utilize the presumption that decentralization lessens responsibility to defend unethical actions, such tax evasion, in the context of blockchain. According to a study by Grym et al. (2024), people believe that using

cryptocurrencies to evade taxes is less immoral than using more conventional financial tools. The observer's favorable emotive assessment of the tax evader acts as a partly mediating factor in this weakened moral judgment.

### 3) Technology Acceptance and Ethical Decision Making

Based on perceived utility and usability, the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and its expansions, such as the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT), describe how people embrace and utilize technology. These models can be expanded to incorporate ethical factors such as perceived privacy, autonomy, and social impact in the context of blockchain. According to the moral disengagement theory, people may use excuses such as anonymity or the perceived normalcy of evasion in crypto groups to separate themselves from moral responsibility. Such moral detachment may be made easier by blockchain's pseudonymous character, which could boost tax evasion.

### 4) Institutional Theory and Regulatory Legitimacy

Institutional theory studies the ways in which formal and informal conventions, regulations, and belief systems influence behavior. Regarding blockchain technology, it signifies a transition from rule-based, centralized systems to code-based, decentralized ones. This change may affect people's desire to pay taxes and their opinions of the authority of tax authorities. According to the Theory of Institutional Legitimacy, people are more inclined to abide by laws they believe to be valid. The potential of blockchain technology to improve transparency and lessen corruption may have an impact on how people view institutional legitimacy, which in turn may have an impact on tax compliance behavior.

### 5) Gender Differences in Moral Reasoning

Research suggests that moral reasoning varies by gender, which may affect assessments of tax evasion. According to a study by Grym et al. (2024), women assessed tax evasion more harshly than men did. They explained this by citing individualizing moral basis values, such as damage avoidance and justice.

The theoretical frameworks presented offer a multifaceted view of how blockchain technology affects ethical behavior and tax compliance. Through the integration of perspectives from gender studies, institutional theory, technology acceptance models, behavioral ethics, and moral philosophy, this study attempts to provide a thorough grasp of the ethical dynamics at work in blockchain-enabled financial institutions. The relationship between blockchain technology, tax compliance, and moral considerations has been the subject of recent

research, however, there hasn't been any thorough theoretical integration. To present, the majority of research has focused on either the behavioral or technological aspects independently, with very few studies directly connecting blockchain to moral decision-making in tax situations.

The controversial Slippery Slope Framework (SSF), put forth by Kirchler et al. (2008), distinguishes between voluntary and compelled tax compliance. This concept states that tax compliance results from both the authority of tax authorities (deterrence) and the confidence they engender (voluntary collaboration). Despite being used in a number of studies since then, this concept has not been thoroughly investigated in blockchain-enabled financial systems, where trust and authority are radically altered. From the standpoint of behavioral ethics, McGee (2012) offered a fundamental examination of the moral defenses people make for evading taxes. According to his research, compliance is greatly impacted by moral and cultural norms, and moral relativism frequently results in a higher tolerance for evasion. Grym, Kolehmainen, and Rantala (2024) expanded on this by examining the ethical implications of tax evasion based on cryptocurrencies. Because of the perceived novelty, intricacy, and anonymity of blockchain, their findings show that people generally consider tax evasion using cryptocurrency to be less morally objectionable than traditional approaches.

Additionally, the incorporation of moral disengagement theory (Bandura, 1999) has shown promise. The decentralized structure of blockchain technology may enable psychological processes such as diffusion of accountability and displacement of guilt, which enable people to ethically disassociate themselves from immoral behavior like evasion. From a technical standpoint, Tapscott and Tapscott (2016) highlighted how blockchain can increase accountability and transparency in public finance. This hopeful viewpoint, however, frequently overlooks the subtle behavioral differences that dictate whether people use this transparency for moral reasons or take advantage of pseudonymity for illegal objectives.

### **3. RESEARCH METHOD**

This evaluation of the literature uses a qualitative, integrative methodology to summarize the body of knowledge regarding blockchain technology, ethics, and tax compliance. Using scholarly databases such as Google Scholar, a thorough search was carried out to find peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and policy reports released between 2015 and 2025. The terms "blockchain," "tax compliance," "ethics," "transparency," and "decentralization" were used in different combinations. The selection of studies was focused

on their applicability to three thematic pillars: the technological features of blockchain, ethical and moral issues in taxes, and how people behave in reaction to tax systems. A comprehensive grasp of the topic matter is ensured by the review's emphasis on publications from interdisciplinary domains like economics, information systems, ethics, public policy, and behavioral science.

Thematic coding and content analysis were used to find recurrent themes, conceptual frameworks, and opposing points of view in the chosen literature in order to assure methodological rigor. A special focus was on how each study addressed fundamental ideas in blockchain-enabled tax systems, such as trust, moral reasoning, voluntary compliance, and institutional legitimacy. Comparing theoretical models with real data allowed the synthesis to determine how ethical considerations affect taxpayer behavior when financial data is governed by blockchain. In order to identify gaps in the literature and to direct future research and policy development on the ethical use of blockchain in taxation systems, the review triangulates concepts from several disciplines to create a conceptual map.

#### **4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Using a wide range of peer-reviewed publications from 2010 to 2025, this review of the literature investigated the relationship between moral issues, blockchain technology, and tax compliance. Three main themes emerge from the synthesis of this literature, which align with the study questions: the effects of decentralization on tax morality, the ethical implications of blockchain construction, and ethical frameworks in digital tax compliance.

##### **Ethical Frameworks in Digital Tax Compliance**

Tax behavior in digital contexts has been analyzed using a variety of ethical theories. Using a deontological approach, Dierksmeier (2020) argues that blockchain can support ethical business operations by ensuring transparency and irreversible records, which reflects Kantian ethics where duty and intention come first. In a similar vein, Holden and Malani (2022) examine the ramifications of economic theory and law, emphasizing the necessity of striking a balance between normative ethical behavior and legal enforcement in decentralized financial systems. Adewunmi Adelekan et al. (2024) compare blockchain and AI-driven tax administration solutions to deepen this conversation. According to their findings, both technologies may promote utilitarian ethics by optimizing adherence and social advantages; nevertheless, the opaque character of AI presents questions regarding trust and fairness, which are less noticeable in transparent blockchain systems. Notwithstanding these efforts, there is

still a lack of knowledge on the integration of virtue ethics, particularly with regard to how blockchain technology might encourage taxpayers to behave ethically outside of the bounds of the law.

Immanuel Kant's theory is the foundation of deontological ethics, which emphasizes the moral obligation to uphold laws and values regardless of the consequences. According to this concept, people and organizations have a categorical duty to fulfill their tax obligations in the context of digital tax compliance only because doing so is the right thing to do, both legally and ethically. Opportunities for tax evasion and regulatory arbitrage have grown as digital ecosystems, such as bitcoin platforms and decentralized finance (DeFi), have grown in popularity. A deontological perspective would denounce such acts for the intrinsic dishonesty and violation of civic duty they represent, rather than for their effects. This ethical viewpoint emphasizes how crucial integrity and personal moral norms are when navigating digital financial environments, even in cases when regulatory control is vague or insufficient.

To promote the greatest good for the largest number of people, utilitarianism, on the other hand, bases its assessment of the morality of tax compliance on the results of acts. According to this perspective, since paying taxes supports social services, infrastructure, and public welfare that benefit society as a whole, it is morally acceptable, especially in a digital economy. In digital environments where anonymity and decentralized platforms facilitate evasion, utilitarian reasoning emphasizes the detrimental effects of widespread non-compliance on society as a whole, including decreased public revenue, heightened inequality, and eroded institutional trust. Consequently, tax evasion is ethically repugnant from a utilitarian standpoint since it jeopardizes the general welfare of the community, even if it seems legally gray or personally advantageous in a digital context.

The development of moral character and the cultivation of virtues like honesty, justice, and accountability are the main focuses of virtue ethics. This approach evaluates tax compliance based on an individual's or organization's ethical integrity rather than only regulations or penalties. Virtue ethics encourages people to behave morally even when they are not being seen, especially in digital contexts where anonymity is more prevalent and enforcement measures may be less effective. For example, a bitcoin investor exhibiting honesty and integrity would appropriately declare capital gains, even though it is impossible for regulators to discover them. Virtue ethics supports a long-term vision of responsible behavior in technologically enhanced financial systems by encouraging moral self-regulation and an ethical culture inside digital networks.

According to the social contract hypothesis, in return for the advantages and protections offered by the government, citizens implicitly or overtly commit to uphold the law and advance the common good. According to this idea, paying taxes is an essential component of the contract that ties people to a functional society. This social contract's apparent validity may be undermined in digital tax contexts, where actions frequently cross-national boundaries and conventional governance frameworks. The reciprocal agreement that supports shared resources is essentially broken when people or businesses take advantage of digital loopholes to evade taxes. Reaffirming this social contract through fairness, openness, and equal enforcement for both traditional and digital taxpayers is necessary to strengthen the ethical underpinnings of tax systems in digital domains.

### **Ethical Implications of Blockchain's Structure**

The immutability, transparency, and traceability of blockchain's structural elements have important ethical ramifications for tax duties. According to Dewi & Dewi (2022), smart contracts and automatic reporting on blockchains discourage tax evasion, which encourages moral behavior by restricting discretion. In a similar vein, Ridwan et al. (2024) emphasize how blockchain improves the integrity of the tax system by guaranteeing transaction verifiability and minimizing corrupt behaviors among tax officials. Nonetheless, Liu et al. (2021) express apprehensions regarding the management of blockchain networks, pointing out the moral conflict between privacy and transparency. Although open ledgers can be advantageous to tax authorities, people might view ongoing monitoring as a violation of their privacy. The way to design blockchain systems to preserve autonomy while maintaining compliance is a crucial ethical conundrum that is brought to light by this. Particularly in cross-border tax situations where legal jurisdictions and ethical expectations differ, this topic is not sufficiently covered in the literature currently in publication.

Two of the most important ethical issues with digital tax systems, especially those that use blockchain technology, are transparency and privacy. Anyone can track transactions thanks to blockchain's transparent ledger, which gives tax authorities a useful tool for keeping an eye on and confirming financial activity. This degree of openness can morally encourage responsibility and deter dishonest behavior. But it also presents serious problems for personal privacy. While many digital financial platforms, like Ethereum and Bitcoin, use pseudonymous identities to safeguard user anonymity, transaction records are still available. It is a difficult ethical conundrum to strike a balance between privacy for individual rights and transparency

for compliance. While too much privacy can encourage tax evasion and illegal financial flows, too much transparency can increase the risk of surveillance and confidentiality violations.

Two characteristics of blockchain that are ethically significant in tax administration are immutability and accountability. Because blockchain records are immutable—transactions cannot be changed once they are recorded—they offer a trustworthy audit trail that improves accountability and lowers the possibility of fraud or manipulation. This provides a more precise and impenetrable basis for evaluations for tax authorities. But this inflexibility might also lead to moral dilemmas. The inability to change or reverse that data could hurt innocent parties if a tax-related transaction is done incorrectly or if fraudulent conduct takes place. In order to ensure fairness and accountability, systems must be built ethically to permit redress or rectification in some way without jeopardizing the blockchain's integrity.

### **Decentralization, Openness, and Moral Behavior in Taxation**

It is frequently said that decentralization fosters individual agency and lessens institutional bias. Stiehle and Weber (2022) propose that decentralized systems can promote trust by eliminating dishonest centralized intermediaries. Consequently, this trust might encourage voluntary tax compliance. On the other hand, Misra (2024) cautions that decentralization may erode regulation and obfuscate accountability, permitting moral disengagement, particularly when users adopt pseudonyms to avoid paying taxes. Xu et al. (2017) reflect this moral ambivalence when they observe that although blockchain's openness may promote transparency, it may also encourage users to engage in strategic conduct where they alter transaction visibility in order to reduce their tax obligations. Aligning decentralized architectures with social norms and legal obligations is, therefore, the fundamental difficulty; this is a design conundrum that has not been addressed in the technological or ethical worlds.

Traditional ideas of power and control in taxation are drastically changed by decentralization, a fundamental component of blockchain and other distributed technologies. Peer-to-peer financial transactions can take place in decentralized systems without the need for banks or other centralized entities, which greatly complicates regulatory monitoring. Because it may allow users to evade national tax laws or conceal income streams from tax authorities, this loss of centralized authority raises ethical concerns. Decentralization encourages independence and creativity, but it also runs the risk of eroding civic duty and compromising the moral precept of justice. Collective participation is the foundation of tax systems, and when actors use decentralized technologies to avoid paying taxes, they unfairly penalize law-abiding taxpayers and undermine the validity of the tax system as a whole.

The ethical issues raised by decentralization are exacerbated by jurisdictional ambiguity. It is difficult to determine which legal body has the jurisdiction to tax or control digital assets and transactions because they frequently cross-national borders. For instance, it can be challenging to allocate tax obligations when a blockchain-based company operates in several nations without a distinct physical presence. This legal ambiguity promotes "regulatory arbitrage," in which people and businesses take advantage of the differences between several tax systems in order to reduce their responsibilities. The social contract, which states that citizens contribute to a common pool of resources in return for services and protections, is ethically undermined by this. Digital tax systems run the risk of becoming unfair and benefiting those with the financial or technical resources to circumvent or take advantage of regulatory gaps in the absence of defined jurisdictional frameworks and international collaboration.

Global tax compliance raises difficult moral and legal issues due to decentralization and jurisdiction. It can be challenging to ascertain whether tax rules apply and how to enforce them because blockchain and other decentralized technologies frequently function outside the purview of any one government or regulatory agency. The relationship between taxpayers and states may be morally weakened by this jurisdictional ambiguity since people may feel less compelled to abide by rules that they believe provide little oversight or direct benefit. Additionally, businesses and people can take advantage of this fragmentation to choose tax regimes with the least amount of hardship by engaging in regulatory arbitrage. Since it transfers tax obligations from those who can afford them to others who have fewer chances to evade compliance, such action ethically violates the concepts of equity and social justice.

The review shows that academics are becoming more interested in how blockchain technology can change moral taxation. Current research, however, frequently ignores the personal moral psychology behind tax compliance in favor of institutional viewpoints. Empirical research investigating the practical effects of blockchain on taxpayers' moral decision-making is conspicuously lacking. Furthermore, in this situation, ethical theories like care ethics and virtue ethics are still mainly neglected. Future studies on hybrid ethical models, which integrate psychological elements (like tax morale) and institutional design (like blockchain structure), appear to be a promising avenue. Investigating the moral ramifications of private versus public blockchain implementations in tax systems is also necessary, especially in light of concerns about equity, accessibility, and democratic governance. Future studies on hybrid ethical models, which integrate psychological elements (like tax morale) and institutional design (like blockchain structure), appear to be a promising avenue. Investigating

the moral ramifications of private versus public blockchain implementations in tax systems is also necessary, especially in light of concerns about equity, accessibility, and democratic control.

The reviewed literature presents diverse perspectives on the ethical role of blockchain in tax compliance, performed as follows:

Author	Focus	Ethical Approach	Strength	Limitation
Dierksmeier (2020)	Business ethics in blockchain	Deontological ethics	Highlights moral duties and transparent conduct	Lacks empirical application in taxation
Liu et al. (2021)	Blockchain governance	Institutional ethics	Systematic review of governance models	Limited attention to personal moral agency
Adelekan et al. (2024)	Blockchain vs AI in tax compliance	Utilitarian ethics	Comparative, policy-relevant analysis	More focused on U.S. context; lacks cross-cultural insights
Dewi & Dewi (2022)	Blockchain to reduce tax avoidance	Compliance-focused ethics	Connects blockchain's transparency to reduced evasion	Doesn't address ethical autonomy
Ridwan et al. (2024)	Blockchain in tax efficiency	Practical ethics	Shows operational benefits like transparency	Weak theoretical foundation
Misra (2024)	Crypto and tax policy	Public policy ethics	Raising key questions about decentralization and enforcement	Highly conceptual; lacks behavioral focus
Stiehle & Weber (2022)	Blockchain in business processes	Governance ethics	Useful taxonomy for analyzing ethical design choices	Focuses on business, not taxation directly

Author	Focus	Ethical Approach	Strength	Limitation
Holden & Malani (2022)	Law, economics, and blockchain	Legal-moral framework	Merges economic and ethical perspectives	Doesn't explore user-level ethical behavior
Xu et al. (2017)	Blockchain in finance	Applied ethics	Highlights trade-offs in transparency and control	Technical focus dominates moral dimension

According to the literature, blockchain has a complex and situation-specific ethical impact on tax compliance. When governance is weak or not in line with social norms, ethical concerns arise from the same structural elements that align well with the moral duty to pay taxes, such as transparency and immutability. There isn't a cohesive ethical framework in the current literature that takes user behavior and system design into account. Therefore, the goal of future research should be to develop integrated ethical models that consider institutional trust, personal motives, and technology affordances. Furthermore, additional empirical studies are required to assess the impact of blockchain systems on taxpayers' real moral judgment. New findings from works of literature are as follows:

- Applying ethical concepts is not always consistent. Although deontological and utilitarian theories are discussed, virtue ethics and care ethics are still not often used to analyze the moral implications of blockchain for taxpayers.
- Tax compliance is impacted by decentralization and transparency in both positive and negative ways. Blockchain can promote transparency by eliminating reporting discretion, but it can also be used to conceal revenue using pseudonyms.
- Designing a governance system has ethical implications. The literature rarely discusses the consequences of permissioned versus permissionless blockchains for fairness, equity, and access.
- A gap exists between theory and practice. Few studies combine both to understand actual taxpayer behavior; most concentrate on either technological functionality or abstract ethical considerations.

## **5. CONCLUSSION AND SUGGESTION**

The ethical aspects of blockchain technology regarding tax compliance have been examined in this literature study, with an emphasis on the ways in which moral considerations interact with decentralized infrastructures and digital systems. The paper emphasizes how blockchain transforms conventional ideas of tax accountability, enforcement, and individual responsibility in digital markets, drawing on well-established ethical frameworks. First off, utilitarianism, deontological ethics, and virtue ethics are frequently the foundations of the ethical assessment of tax compliance in digital contexts. By weighing efficiency advantages against hazards like tax evasion, utilitarian models evaluate the societal effects of blockchain adoption. Deontological viewpoints place a strong emphasis on obligations to moral and legal standards, raising concerns about whether blockchain users are following moral tax laws despite any advantages. In a decentralized economy, virtue ethics further investigates how blockchain might strengthen—or weaken—personal qualities like integrity and civic duty.

Second, the structural characteristics of blockchain have important ethical ramifications for tax liabilities. Although the immutability and transparency of blockchain technology might improve traceability and discourage fraudulent activity, they also pose privacy concerns and can make it more difficult to correct legal errors or abuses during judicial proceedings. Furthermore, in these settings, new ethical and technological approaches are needed to uphold tax duties because the absence of centralized control may make it more difficult for regulatory agencies to enforce compliance.

Lastly, the review concludes that transparency and decentralization offer both chances and difficulties for ethical taxing practices. On the one hand, these qualities have the potential to empower people and foster trust via open systems. However, by hiding oversight and facilitating evasion tactics, they can erode moral incentives for compliance. The way blockchain communities self-regulate, the ideals they uphold, and the technological safeguards they put in place will ultimately determine the ethical results of decentralization.

To summarize, blockchain has the potential to improve ethical behavior by increasing openness and traceability, but it also creates opportunities for new kinds of moral disengagement and regulatory evasion. As such, blockchain poses a double-edged sword for tax compliance. Designing, governing, and utilizing blockchain systems requires a deeper integration of ethical frameworks. In a more decentralized digital environment, policymakers, developers, and users must work together to create standards and frameworks that support the ethical duties of tax compliance.

## REFERENCES

- Adewunmi Adelekan, O., Adisa, O., Ilugbusi, B. S., Obi, O. C., Awonuga, K. F., Asuzu, O. F., & Ndubuisi, N. L. (2024). Evolving tax compliance in the digital era: A comparative analysis of AI-driven models and blockchain technology in U.S. tax administration. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 5(2), 311–335.
- Ali, M. (2024). Legal implications of blockchain technology for tax compliance and financial regulation. *Financial and Accounting Research Journal*, 6(2), 1–15.
- Alm, J. (2019). What motivates tax compliance? *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 33(2), 353–388.
- Bandura, A. (1999). Moral disengagement in the perpetration of inhumanities. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 3(3), 193–209.
- Davidson, S., Filippi, P., & Potts, J. (2018). Blockchain and the economy: The impact of decentralized technology on business and society. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 152(2), 1–15.
- Dierksmeier, C. (2020). Blockchain and business ethics. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 29(3), 1–16.
- DLA Piper. (2023). *Exploring the ethical implications of digital assets, blockchain technology, and smart contracts in legal practice*.
- FasterCapital. (2023). *Blockchain startup legal compliance: Tax implications for blockchain startups*.
- Gangl, K., Hofmann, E., & Kirchler, E. (2015). Tax authorities' interaction with taxpayers: A conception of compliance in social dilemmas by power and trust. *New Ideas in Psychology*, 37, 13–23.
- Grym, J., Kolehmainen, N., & Rantala, E. (2024). Cryptocurrency tax evasion is perceived as less morally wrong than traditional tax evasion: A social psychological perspective. *Journal of Behavioral Finance*.
- Kirchler, E. (2007). *The economic psychology of tax behaviour*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kirchler, E., Hoelzl, E., & Wahl, I. (2008). Enforced versus voluntary tax compliance: The “slippery slope” framework. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 29(2), 210–225.
- Legal Service India. (2023). *The role of blockchain technology in modernizing tax collection*.
- Leung, C. W., & Wu, W. C. (2024). Blockchain adoption and tax enforcement: Evidence from accounting restatements. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 43(2), 106056.
- Liu, Y., Lu, Q., Zhu, L., Paik, H.-Y., & Staples, M. (2021). A systematic literature review on blockchain governance. *arXiv*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.14000>
- Misra, A. (2024). Tax policy handbook for crypto assets. *arXiv*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.00001>
- Nembe, J. K., Atadoga, J. O., Adelakun, B. O., Odeyemi, O., & Oguejiofor, B. B. (2022). Legal implications of blockchain technology for tax compliance and financial regulation. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, 6(2), 1–12.

- PLoS One. (2022). A blockchain-based certifiable anonymous E-taxing protocol. *PLoS One*, 17(4), e0266729. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0266729>
- Reijers, W., & Coeckelbergh, M. (2021). Towards trustworthy blockchains: Normative reflections on blockchain-enabled virtual institutions. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 23(4), 1–15.
- Ridwan, R., Riswandi, D., & Mulyani, F. S. (2024). The implementation of blockchain in taxation: Efficiency, transparency, and reducing tax avoidance. In *Proceedings of the 8th Global Conference on Business, Management, and Entrepreneurship (GCBME 2023)* (pp. 234–243).
- Rogers, H., & Picard, R. G. (2020). Blockchain and the future of tax regulation. *Journal of Tax Administration*, 6(1), 30–50.
- Sedlmeir, J., et al. (2022). Anti-money laundering (AML) and know your customer (KYC) compliance in blockchain-based transactions. *SN Social Sciences*, 2(1), 1–15.
- Stiehle, F., & Weber, I. (2022). Blockchain for business process enactment: A taxonomy and systematic literature review. *arXiv*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.00062>
- Tapscott, D., & Tapscott, A. (2016). *Blockchain revolution: How the technology behind bitcoin is changing money, business, and the world*. Penguin.
- Winkelbauer, L. (2023). Regulatory considerations in blockchain governance. *Journal of Blockchain Research*, 5(1), 45–60.
- Xu, X., Weber, I., & Staples, M. (2017). Blockchain technology in the banking industry: A systematic literature review. *arXiv*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.02489>